Gilbert River agricultural precinct proposal

Scoping brief on the proposed Gilbert River agricultural precinct, including a marketing and gross margin analysis prospective





Complied by Greg Mason.

Design and layout by Melissa Frazer.

On 26 March 2009, the Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries was amalgamated with other government departments to form the Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation.

© The State of Queensland, Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation, 2009.

Except as permitted by the Copyright Act 1968, no part of the work may in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or any other means be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or be broadcast or transmitted without the prior written permission of the Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innovation. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. The copyright owner shall not be liable for technical or other errors or omissions contained herein. The reader/user accepts all risks and responsibility for losses, damages, costs and other consequences resulting directly or indirectly from using this information.

Enquiries about reproduction, including downloading or printing the web version, should be directed to ipcu@dpi.qld.gov.au or telephone +61 7 3225 1398.

Contents

Summary	.1
Scope of the report	.2
Background	.2
Population	.3
Existing agricultural situation	.3
Current agriculture land tenure	.4
Public and private infrastructure	.4
Road distances	.4
Freight costs	.5
Soil types	.5
Climate	.5
Rainfall	.5
Temperature	.6
Evaporation	.8
Market and financial analysis	8
Market and financial analysis	
Gross margin analysis	.9
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation	.9 .9
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes	.9 .9 .9
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes	.9 .9 10
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land)	.9 .9 10 10
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land) Maize	.9 .9 10 10 11 12
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land) Maize Soybean	.9 .9 10 11 12 12
Gross margin analysis Grops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes	.9 .9 10 10 11 12 12
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land) Maize Soybean Mung beans Rockmelons	.9 .9 10 10 11 12 12 12 13
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes	.9 .9 10 10 11 12 12 12 13 13
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land) Maize Soybean Mung beans Rockmelons Watermelon and pumpkins Hay cropping systems	.9 .9 10 10 11 12 12 12 13 13 14
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land) Maize Soybean Mung beans Rockmelons Watermelon and pumpkins Hay cropping systems Leucaena	.9 .9 10 10 11 12 12 13 13 14 14
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land) Maize Soybean Mung beans Rockmelons Watermelon and pumpkins Hay cropping systems Leucaena Cavalcade	.9 .9 10 10 11 12 12 13 13 13 14 14 14
Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land) Maize Soybean Mung beans Rockmelons Watermelon and pumpkins Hay cropping systems Leucaena Cavalcade Butterfly pea	.9 .9 10 10 11 12 12 12 13 13 14 14 14 14
Gross margin analysis Gross margin analysis Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation Mangoes Peanuts Rice (dry land) Maize Soybean Mung beans Rockmelons Watermelon and pumpkins Hay cropping systems Leucaena Cavalcade Butterfly pea Grass hay.	.9 .9 10 10 11 12 12 12 13 13 14 14 14 14 15
Gross margin analysis	.9 .9 10 11 12 12 13 13 14 14 14 14 15 17

Potential cropping options which need further market and production analysis	18
Cotton	18
Bananas	19
Table grapes	19
Cashew	20
Citrus	20
Other grain crops	21
Modular abattoir concept	21
Organic precinct	21
Aquaculture	22
Biodiesel	23
Forestry	23
African mahogany (Khaya senegalensis)	24
References	25
Personal communications	25
Appendix 1. Gross margins	26

List of Tables

Table 1.	Potential agricultural economic profile for Gilbert River precinct1
Table 2.	Industry activities
Table 3.	Cattle industry overview of the Gulf Region
Table 4.	Road distances
Table 5.	General freight charges5
Table 6.	Median monthly rainfall
Table 7.	Temperatures at Georgetown and Croydon7
Table 8.	Relative humidity7
Table 9.	Evaporation at Burketown and Cloncurry8
Table 10.	Indicative productive regions for butterfly pea15
Table 11.	Grasses and legumes grown on the Atherton Tablelands
Table 12.	Snap shot of the Gilbert River agricultural precinct cropping profile
Table 13.	Summary of the potential economic Gilbert River agricultural precinct profile
Table 14.	Barramundi production in Queensland (2004-05 to 2006-07)
Table 15.	Redclaw crayfish farm numbers and production levels in Queensland (2004/05 to 2006/07)

Summary

The Gilbert River Agricultural Precinct concept has been around in one form or another for many years. However, it would appear to be timely to review this project with regard to the current national and global issues with food security and depleted water resources.

The report aims to provide an appreciation of the current resource position and provide agricultural information relevant to advancing a business case to the Federal government for construction of a dam in this region.

Pervious reports had demonstrated agriculture arable agricultural land between 10000-25000ha in a mosaic landscape along the Gilbert river. The proposed dam could be located on a property called 'Green Hills', which is approximately 80km west of Georgetown.

Time constraints have limited in-depth market analysis and market window investigations; therefore, the body of the report has been broken down into three sections:

- 1. Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation.
- 2. Potential cropping options which need further market and production analysis.
- 3. Longer term potential cropping and industry alternatives which require in-depth analysis but could have long term economic, social and environmental benefits.

The report was also centred on the current advice of the Department of Environment and Resource Management (DERM) which infers that a dam of 300000ML could yield an average annual yield of 100000ML.

Section 1 indicates, given the current information and based on this current scenario, at least two major crops (peanuts and rice) and the doubling of mango production would be required to gain an infrastructural critical mass for this agricultural precinct. This would also be in conjunction with a range of small cropping options. It would appear that this type of cropping mix and production area is required to limit production failure, market distortions and maximise the efficient use of land and water infrastructure.



Table 1. Potential agricultural economic profile for Gilbert River precinct

The projections only give indicative likely outcomes of the proposed cropping income, production and water consumption. It does not indicate the profitability or viability of the proposed Gilbert River agricultural precinct.

Section 2 indicates the possible diversity within the proposed cropping region with such crops as cotton, bananas, cashews, citrus and horticultural crops; however, further market and production analysis would be required.

Section 3 highlights possible industry and production alternatives such as an organic precinct, forestry and bio-energy options for the proposed precinct; however, in-depth analysis and research would be required to evaluate these opportunities.

Scope of the report

This report brings together a range of information relevant to the construction of a dam and the creation of an agricultural precinct on the Gilbert River in Far North Queensland. Its aim is to provide an appreciation of the current resource position and provide agricultural information relevant to advancing a business case to the Federal government for construction of the dam.

The report covers:

- a general overview of the soil and climate attributes,
- potential cropping opportunities,
- gross margins on selected crops,
- potential gross farm gate values, and
- gross margin analysis on selected crop options.

The report focuses only on the proposed Gilbert River agricultural precinct within the Etheridge Shire.

Background

The sub-region is characterised by the extensive alluvial plains of the large river systems that drain the area to the northern coastline. These areas support mainly blue grass (*Dicanthium* spp.) grasslands and various open woodlands dominated by species such as coolabah (*E. microtheca*), gidgee (*A. cambagei*) and paperbark (*Melaleuca* spp.).

Along the coast are extensive estuarine areas and floodplains supporting mangroves, sedgelands and grasslands providing important wetland habitat. Gently sloping sandstone tablelands along the eastern margin of the region support a variety of eucalyptus woodlands and lancewood (*A. shirleyi*) low open forests.

The region is in the Gulf Plains bio-region. The major occurrences of wetlands are on the plains and littoral shores of the Gulf of Carpentaria. An aggregation of 15 sites (1071770ha) has environmental importance to Queensland and three sites have importance nationally. The Southern Gulf Aggregation (553380ha) is a potential RAMSAR site.

Source: North Region Footprint, 2008, DPI&F.

The index of socio-economic disadvantage is a measure of an area's disadvantage relative to other areas. Using this index, the Gulf region is generally significantly disadvantaged when compared to other local government areas across Queensland, while many of the local government areas are in the top 10% of the most disadvantaged areas of Queensland.

Source: North Region Footprint, 2008, DPI&F.

Land tenure in the Gulf region is mainly pastoral leases, Aboriginal land, nature reserves and mining leases, with a relatively small area of freehold land. Leases are managed by both pastoral companies and individuals. Many leases in the Gulf will be due for renewal in the next 5-10 years and will be subject to the new leasehold land conditions and monitoring requirements. Native title and Indigenous Landuse agreements may be more important in future negotiations.

Source: North Region Footprint, 2008, DPI&F.

The dominant land use on large pastoral leases is cattle grazing on native pastures at low stocking rates and with relatively few improvements.

A combination of *Bos indicus* cross cattle and use of supplements have increased herd numbers and productivity since the 1970s. Further improvement through better management strategies, such as early weaning and targeted supplementation, could further improve productivity. Live export facilities and port infrastructure were installed in Karumba in 1994 with significant benefits. *Source: North Region Footprint, 2008, DPI&F.*

The World Wildlife Fund and the Queensland Conservation Council are pushing for the area to be a "wild area". Four 'Wild Rivers' are declared within the region.

The Gulf Water Resource Plan identifies potential for expansion of irrigation for horticulture on the Gilbert River.

The Gulf area is heavily dependent upon primary industries with low levels of value-adding activity.

The Gulf region lies within a strongly mineralised geological area and forms part of the North West Mineral Provence, which contains substantial resources of gold, base metals and other industrial metals and gemstones.

Gold was mined at Kidston, but this has subsequently closed. Georgetown has recognised deposits of uranium and this may become important in time depending upon state and federal government policies. *Source: North Region Footprint, 2008, DPI&F.*

Population

Local Government Area	Estimated Residential Population as at 30 June 2007	
Etheridge Shire Council	934	

Source: Queensland Regional Profiles, Office of Economic and Statistical Research (OESR), 2009.

Existing agricultural situation

The principal land use in the region is for grazing beef cattle. There are also a small number of agricultural developments occurring within the Etheridge shire region including mangoes, peanuts, broad-acre crops and hay based crops.

Table 2. Industry activities

Сгор Туре	Approx Area (ha)	Notes
Mangoes	150ha	2 major enterprises
Peanuts	70-150ha	1 major enterprises
Board acre cropping	70-150ha	2 major enterprises
Hay based crops	150-200ha	3-5 major enterprises

(Pers.comm.)

Table 3. Cattle industry overview of the Gulf Region

Shires	Area Under Production (ha)*	Adjusted Cattle Numbers*	Adjusted Trading Entities*
Etheridge Shire	39064658.13	309947	108
Carpentaria Shire	43565479.37	448500	45
Burke Shire	30620162.46	208698	24
Western part of Herberton ¹ Shire	1966349.98	56982	66
Western part of Mareeba ² Shire	14431113.20	153220	34
Totals	129647763.14	1177347	277

* Adjusted to reflect land, reserve areas, national parks, etc.

Source: Gulf regional profile - cattle.

Current agriculture land tenure

Currently there are approximately 108 pastoral property entities located within the Etheridge Shire, with a small number of freehold properties within the precinct area.

Public and private infrastructure

The closest township to the proposed Gilbert River Agricultural Precinct is Georgetown, approximately 80km to the east. Georgetown predominately services the grazing industry and some limited mining activity in the region.

Road distances

Table 4.	Road d	istances
----------	--------	----------

Location	Destination	Approximate Distance (km)
Georgetown	Cairns	354
Georgetown	Townsville	522
Georgetown	Brisbane	2187
Georgetown	Sydney	3197
Georgetown	Melbourne	3856

¹ The former Herberton Shire is now part of the Tableland Regional Council.

² The former Mareeba Shire is now part of the Tablelands Regional Council.

Freight costs

Location	Destination	Cost
Georgetown	Cairns	\$105 per cubic metre + 10% fuel charge
Georgetown	Brisbane	\$110 - \$130 per tonne

Table 5. General freight charges

Soil types

In this catchment 295000ha were assessed for agricultural potential. About 30% of the total area was assessed as potential agricultural land and all this land was within 5km of the river. Most of the potential land is located in the northern section of the study area, below the proposed precinct Green Hills site. Significant areas of deep sandy red and yellow earths (Pit, Mundy, Searly) occur on elevated plains. The alluvial soils (Mills) derived from rhyolitic and granitic parent material form broad low levees to a distance of about 2km from the river. These soils have few limitations to the production of a range of crops.

Irrigation methods are likely to be restricted to spray or micro irrigation because of the high permeability of the profiles. These soils are suitable for the production of tree crops, bananas, maize, soybeans, peanuts and annual horticulture.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.52, DPI, 1999.

Climate

The area is only serviced by three weather recording stations – Georgetown (291m), Croydon (116m) and Mt Surprise (610m). It is assumed that the Georgetown and Croydon stations are more representative of the region because Mt Surprise is more elevated and has a more easterly location. *Source: Gulf agro-economic study*, *p.50*, *DPI*, 1999.

Rainfall

This area can be described as experiencing a sub-humid, tropical climate with 90% of annual rainfall in from December to March, but with a very high degree of rainfall variability both spatially and temporally. The average rainfall for the area varies greatly. Apart from the weather recording stations at Georgetown and Croydon, property homesteads provide the only other rainfall information. The early summer rain is associated with thunderstorm activity and has great variation spatially. Rainfall later in the season is mainly due to moist tropical air flowing in from the Gulf and rainfall depressions resulting from cyclonic disturbances originating both in the Gulf and Coral Sea. The highest and lowest amounts of rainfall measured in Georgetown are 1560mm and 247mm respectively. The mean deviation from the mean rainfall, expressed as a percentage of the mean, exceeds 44% for the Georgetown recording station. Drought is a common feature of the region and can extend for several years.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.50, DPI, 1999.

Month	Georgetown (mm)	Croydon (mm)
January	190	188
February	187	166
March	104	94
April	11.0	8.6
Мау	0.5	0.4
June	1.2	0.8
July	nil	nil
August	nil	nil
September	nil	nil
October	6.6	308
November	37	27.0
December 104		95.8
Variability	44%	n/a

Table 6. Median monthly rainfall

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.50, DPI, 1999.

Temperature

Information on this data is based on the recording stations at Georgetown and Croydon. Topographical limitations indicate that the Georgetown and Croydon sites are generally representative of sites identified with agricultural potential.

Temperature extremes are common, with both heatwaves and minor frosts being experienced in the area. Frosts of varying severity have been experienced over most of the area. Georgetown averages about 1-2 light frosts per year while Mt Surprise can experience 3-4 heavy frosts per year. Croydon has not registered a frost. Diurnal variations are greatest in the winter and spring months and can be up to 16°C. Frosts have been observed along the river terraces and alluvial flood plains adjacent to the streams when not officially recorded at the weather stations. Local topography influences the frequency for frost occurrence in the area.

Generally, daytime temperatures are high with cooler night temperatures. Heat wave conditions (consecutive days with temperatures over 38°C) are frequent in the region. Georgetown has recorded a period up to nine consecutive days of temperatures greater than 38°C but averages periods of about four days per year from November to February. Croydon has recorded a maximum temperature of 43.9°C and frequently records temperatures above 40°C during September to March. Croydon averages 20 days per month when temperatures are above 38°C during October to February

Month	Probability of frost (%)	Minimum Temperature (°C)	Maximum Temperature (°C)	Minimum Temperature (°C)	Maximum Temperature (°C)
January	nil	22.9	34.3	24.1	35.7
February	nil	22.7	33.4	23.8	34.7
March	nil	21.4	33.2	23.2	34.4
April	nil	19.2	32.4	20.9	34.0
Мау	nil	16.1	30.3	17.9	34.0
June	0.3	12.8	28.1	14.5	31.7
July	0.9	12.0	28.1	14.0	29.2
August	0.6	13.0	30.0	15.7	29.2
September	0.1	16.1	32.8	19.1	31.2
October	nil	19.7	35.6	22.2	34.2
November	nil	21.8	36.5	24.3	36.9
December	nil	22.8	36.0	24.4	38.2

Table 7. Temperatures at Georgetown and Croydon

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.51, DPI, 1999.

Table 8. Relative humidity

Month	Georgetown Relative Humidity (%)	Croydon Relative Humidity (%)
January	57	58
February	63	63
March	56	56
April	49	44
May	49	43
June	46	41
July	44	39
August	39	34
September	34	32
October	34	33
November	40	38
December	47	46

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.52, DPI, 1999.

Evaporation

Evaporation has been measured in the region in two ways. Data has been calculated from mean daily rates, but has also been calculated from saturated vapour deficits.

Calculated rates for mean daily evaporation are indicated and have been multiplied by number of days to estimate the monthly evaporation. The results of both estimates are presented. The data estimates the total annual evaporation to be in the order of 2300-2800mm/annum.

	Georgetown				Croydon	
Month	Calculated from Saturated Vapour Deficits		Calculated from Daily Pan Rates		Calculated from Daily Pan Rates	
	mm/day	mm/month	mm/day	mm/month	mm/day	mm/month
January	8.2	255	6.9	215	6.7	210
February	7.6	215	5.9	165	5.7	160
March	6.5	200	6.1	190	6.2	190
April	6.5	200	5.9	180	6.6	200
Мау	5.6	175	4.7	145	5.8	180
June	5.4	165	4.0	120	4.9	150
July	5.8	180	4.2	130	5.3	165
August	7.2	225	5.4	170	6.4	200
September	9.2	275	7.1	215	8.3	250
October	11.3	350	8.3	260	9.3	290
November	11.4	345	8.5	255	9.3	280
December	10.3	320	7.9	245	8.4	260

Table 9. Evaporation at Burketown and Cioncurry	Table 9.	Evaporation at Burketown and Cloncurry
---	----------	---

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.52, DPI, 1999.

Market and financial analysis

The agro-economic study in 1999 demonstrated a number of crops suitable for the region; these include rockmelons, mango, banana, citrus, grapes, cashews, cotton, peanuts, leucaena, hay and aquaculture. This report will recover those opportunities and describe other potential cropping opportunities within the agricultural precinct.

Time constraints have limited in-depth market analysis and market window investigations; therefore, this section of the report has been broken down into three subsections:

- (a) Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation.
- (b) Potential cropping options which need further market and production analysis.
- (c) Longer term potential cropping and industry alternatives which require in-depth analysis but could have long term economic, social and environmental benefits.

A summary has been developed from Subsection (a) based on the following criteria:

- crops which are and have been grown in the region,
- agricultural produce which are less affected by market forces and market manipulation,
- discussions with local producers,
- agronomic reports on the region,
- water availability proposed for the dam, and
- land suitability types.

Current advice from DERM suggests a conservative area suitable for cropping exist between 10000-25000ha with a reliable water resource yield of 100000ML per year from the proposed dam capacity of 300000ML.

With these constraints the report has focused on the 100000ML yield capacity, working on a base premise of approximately 7-10ML per hectare of cropping activity.

Gross margin analysis

Gross margins are a method often used to assess the economic structure of an agricultural enterprise. Enterprise gross margin is the difference between the gross output and the variable costs used to produce that output. It is important to note that a gross margin is not a measure of farm profitability.

Gross margin analysis has been adopted to reflect the area under production, yield, farm gate income and water utilisation.

All yields, costs and returns have been based on previous agricultural gross margin profiling work carried out in Far North Queensland in 2008. Adjustments have been made where possible to reflect extra costs associated with the operation of agricultural enterprises in the Gilbert River region.

Gross margins have been attached to support the yield, farm gate price, water usage and area under production.

Crops which are currently grown and required limited market investigation

The crops selected are mangos, peanuts, rice, maize, soybeans, mung bean, melons, pumpkins, fodder crops and a cattle/silage production system.

The summary has been based on a generalised enterprise cropping mix reflecting production risk strategies, climatic conditions and current market opportunities. While the total area would appear to be higher than 10000ha it simply reflects the nature of a "normal" rotational and double cropping system. It must be also recognised that a certain amount of land would be under fallow.

In the development of the cropping options a key consideration was not to exceed the maximum annual water yield of 100000ML.

Mangoes

As an evergreen topical tree, the mango is adapted to warm conditions and is intolerant of frost. Generally temperatures in the 25-30°C range are optimum for growth, although the plant will tolerate at least 40°C. Flowering is stimulated by a reduction in growth rate caused by a combination of lower night temperatures and droughting. Ideally mangoes should be exposed to dry tropical conditions in the July-December reproductive period.

Despite their adaptation to a wide range of soils, it is important to grow mangoes on lighter soils of only moderate fertility. This is necessary for the production of high quality, well coloured fruit to meet market demand. Increasingly the market is unwilling to purchase green skinned mangoes, even if they are mature. The aim is to have a low nitrogen status in the soil at fruit maturity for optimum colour development.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.58, DPI, 1999.

Market update

The total market is evenly divided between the Northern Territory, Bowen/Townsville and the Atherton Tablelands region. The total area under production from these regions is approximately 7200ha, with approximately 1300000 trees.

The predominate variety across all the regions is Kensington Pride (KPs). Other varieties grown are earlier R2E2, Kent and Palmer, later varieties such Honey Gold and Calypso and rare cultivars such as Keow-Sovary.

Time constraints for this report do not allow further market analysis on the market window for mangoes from the Gilbert region. Therefore, area under production has been based on a conservative value of 300ha. This projection target volume should have limited detrimental effects on current market prices.

Peanuts

Peanuts have been grown in the region. Cropping could occur during most of the year on the levee soils. Yields would be expected to be in the order of 3t/ha for soybeans and peanuts. Both crops could be grown under spray irrigation. The risks to production from weather and humidity should be minimal. Water requirements for these crops would be of the order of 2-3ML/ha during the wet season and up to 8-11ML/ha in the dry season. Other legumes such as mung beans and navy beans could be grown during the spring and autumn as they are relatively fast maturing crops.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.54, DPI, 1999.

The risks to production from weather and humidity should be minimal. Native and feral wildlife – due to large areas of relatively undisturbed habitat in the area – could cause production losses. Transport to processing areas would need to be undertaken, with greater transport costs associated with soybeans than peanuts.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.55, DPI, 1999.

In 2006 the Australian market used approximately 40000 tonnes of peanuts a year. The peanut butter market is growing at more than 5% per year and the confectionery sector at more than 10%. However the snackfood market is static.

The Australian industry has move towards new genetics that have delivered cultivars with disease resistance to aflatoxin and soil and foliar diseases.

Processing yields have also improved with less 'oil' kernels. In 1950, 40-50% of yields were edible kernels; now this is 65-70%.

New varieties also have reduced shell content. For example, the new Hi Oleic variety Lyons has 18% shell content compared with 32% for the old Virginia Bunch variety. New varieties also have improved blanching ability.

Source: 2006 Annual Report, PCA.

Discussions with a Peanut Company of Australia representative had suggested solid interest in this region. The representative suggested that their company was in expansion mode and looking for cropping areas between 5000-10000ha in northern Australia. This crop would not compete against other regions, and therefore, it would not be impacted by market forces.

Consequently, peanuts could create a significant platform for the current agricultural precinct proposal. The summary has thus projected a peanut crop area of 3000ha and this is believed to be relatively conservative.

Rice (dry land)

Dry land rice would appear to be an emerging industry in northern Australia, with interest from one major processor (Sunrice) and from grains merchants. Variety trials are currently being carried out in the Burdekin and Atherton Tablelands regions with positive yield being projected.

The yields would be expected to be about 7-8 t/ha for the winter crop. Millouts would be expected to be about 60%. Risks to production would be from native and feral wildlife. Currently, there are no processing facilities in the region and it would be expected that small mills could handle about 10000 tonnes but a large mill would require a supply from about 3000ha.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.30, DPI, 1999.

Market update

Global food security is a growing issue with a number of countries. China, Korea and Saudi Arabia in particular are seeking land in other countries to secure vital grain production and supply. Couple this with water issues in the southern states and northern Australian becomes well placed as a future production area.

Discussions with a range of industry sources have suggested that northern Australia is well positioned for specialised rices and short grain varieties.

Reports are indicating sound prospects for this cropping option. However, further analysis is required on variety types, product drying and transport considerations.

This crop may also be a critical cropping platform for the proposed agricultural precinct and, therefore, rice cropping projections of 3000ha has been adopted.

Maize

Maize is often considered to be a standard crop rotation with a range of other crop types. While the maize prices have sometimes been marginal there has been a steady growth in production and it would appear to be a fairly stable market. Yields of 8-10t/ha can be expected under irrigation while yields of 6-7t/ha under dryland production.

Market update

Currently the Atherton Tableland region grows approximately 5000ha, producing approx 35000 tonnes of grain. This grain in sold locally, particularly within the dairy industry. The Atherton Tableland dairy industry would appear to be strengthening with increases farm gate milk prices. There is a direct relationship with higher milk prices and an increase in grain supplementation and, thus, maize grain demand.

Tableland growers tend to move in and out of maize depending on price and other cropping alternatives, while grain merchants would prefer to have longer term business relationships. This opens the opportunity to new entrants to secure production relationships and long term contracts. Thus, there is good evidence for an increase in grain production in a new northern maize growing region. Therefore, a conservative area under production has been projected at 2500ha.

Soybean

Oilseeds are an increasingly important crop group in Australian agriculture. Oilseeds are grown in Australia are for edible products and, to a lesser extent, industrial oil. Soybean is a high protein meal and is used in stock feeds. Soybeans are cultivated widely throughout the world and represent 50% of oilseed production and 80% of world oilseed trade.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.54, DPI, 1999.

Market update

The Burdekin is currently constructing a soybean processing plant for human consumption. This may provide a solid market outlet for the crop. Further market analysis would be required in the areas of seed quality, production techniques and transport linkages; however, this provides sufficient evidence to be included in an agriculture precinct production cycle. A conservative area of 500ha has been included in the cropping programme.

Mung beans

Mung beans are an ancient and well-known crop in Asia. It is often included in rice or wheatbased cropping systems in the tropics and subtropics. Mung beans are a fast growing crop with an average plant to harvest of 55 to 65 days. This makes it a useful cropping option to fit into a multiple cropping system.

Market update

Currently the majority of the Australian crop (95%) is used for human consumption and, therefore, requires strict hygiene requirements. These strict hygiene requirements indicate the need for a high level of production knowledge and skill to achieve good yields and prices.

Discussions with a grain merchant specialising in mung beans within the Burdekin region suggests a solid growth future for mung bean production in an enterprise production cycle. Therefore, this crop has been included within the analysis of 500ha under production.

Rockmelons

Day temperatures of 20-30°C and night temperatures above 15°C are best for crop growth. The crop is very sensitive to low temperatures and this confers a comparative production advantage in winter to the Katherine/Darwin and Kununurra areas over the coastal districts of Queensland's dry tropics (Bowen to Ayr). Frost at any stage will kill rockmelons, restricting the available periods for inland cropping to parts of autumn and spring. Rockmelons are best grown in the dry tropics as high humidity, dews and wet conditions encourage fungal diseases which lead to poor fruit quality.

In the past rockmelons have required light soils with excellent drainage. However, the widespread adoption of drip irrigation, plastic mulch and laser levelling has resulted in the crop being successfully grown on heavy soils. Intense rainfall during the cropping period has caused significant crop losses on heavy soils. Thus a risk assessment is required, based on the frequency of occurrence of flooding rains during April-December in coastal North Queensland. Yield of rockmelons varies greatly in relation to temperature; being lowest in winter and highest in autumn and spring. The crop averages 27 tonnes per hectare. Irrigation requirements for the crop is 2.4ML/ha.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.57, DPI, 1999.

Market Update

Rockmelons are primary growing in the Burdekin/Bowen regions with approximately 400ha under production, producing approximately 17500 tonnes of produce. The high value product competes with the Northern Territory and Kununurra regions, with production mainly occurring over the winter months.

This industry requires highly developed and skilled operators with generally high quality standards. It would appear that the region would be well suited and may have some comparative advantages with climate and distance to southern markets via the central Queensland transport corridor. This suggests there is enough evidence for producing this type of crop. A conservative value of 200ha has been adopted in the analysis. Areas under production could be increased if producers developed a co-operative approach with production and marketing.

Watermelon and pumpkins

Watermelons and pumpkins are seen as an opportunistic crop in many cropping regions throughout Australia and would appear to suit the current agronomic and land suitability information for the Gilbert River agricultural precinct.

Market Update

The Burdekin region seems to dominate the production levels with approximately 1000ha under production. The region generally crops under plastic with a drip irrigation system, making it a capital intensive system.

This industry is now a highly management intensive system with high casual labour requirements. Analysis suggests that the market now requires seedless melons and smaller types of pumpkins. However; it appears to be a market opportunity and, therefore, has been included within the analysis. Areas under production could be increased if producers developed a co-operative approach with production and marketing. The area projected under cropping activity is 500ha.

Hay cropping systems

The cattle industry in the Gulf region is examining opportunities to improve production and expand the live cattle trade. The production of high quality forage hay and growing of leucaena for cattle fattening can contribute to value-adding to current beef enterprises. These crops would be developed as a contribution to the cattle enterprise.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.56, DPI, 1999.

Leucaena

Although leucaena will persist in a wide range of soil conditions, it requires fertile soils for high productivity. Unlike many trees, it suits self-mulching, clay soils. Leucaena is grown in areas that have cold winters including frosts, such as inland central Queensland's Brigalow region where over 20000ha are grown in dryland areas.

Leucaena is a high quality, long lived, leguminous forage tree. It is well adapted to the >600mm rainfall zone for Queensland. Therefore, field trials would be required to confirm production and financial projected outcomes on these soil types.

Leucaena produces very palatable, nutritious, high protein leaf for cattle, giving liveweight gains of 250-300kg per head per year. This option does require a high level of expertise in establishing and managing the crop.

Cavalcade

Cavalcade (*Centrosema pascuorum*) is a native of seasonally arid regions in tropical South and Central America. Cavalcade is suitable for areas with reliable wet and dry seasons, receiving 700-1500mm of average annual rainfall.

It is adapted to a wide range of soil types. Cavalcade has survived prolonged water logging and partial submersion on seasonally flooded soils. It can also tolerate periods of drought during the dry season.

In pure swards, under good growing conditions, Cavalcade provides 4-6 tonnes of high quality herbage, with up to 8 tonnes under ideal conditions. Seed yields up to 1000kg/ha have been recorded. Cavalcade is very palatable, and is well accepted and sought out by stock. There is currently some doubt about its ability to persist in continuously grazed mixed pastures.

Butterfly pea

Butterfly pea is a summer growing legume and is most productive on deep, fertile soils when temperatures are warm. With appropriate management and suitable soils it can persist and be productive in 650-1250mm rainfall areas.

Butterfly pea can be a successful grazing management system. It suits a ley legume rotation, provides a high quality fodder option and generates a potential seed income stream. The gross margin reflects a hay based enterprise only. Production field trials would be required to confirm the financial projected outcomes.

Source: The Butterfly Pea Book: guide to establishing and managing butterfly pea pastures in central Queensland, 2005.

Table 10. Indicative productive regions for butterfly pea

North Queensland Region/District	Median rainfall (mm)	Autumn temperature limitation to growth*	Potential forage production^	Months of potential high growth
<i>Peninsula</i> Cooktown, Coen, Laura, Chillagoe	650-1700	1	High	6
<i>Gulf</i> Burketown, Normanton, Croydon, Georgetown, Mt Surprise	700-900	2	High	5
<i>Dalrymple</i> Charters Towers, Lyndhurst, Mingela	650-750	3	High	5

* Autumn temperature limitation: 1 nil, 5 high.

^ Forage production: High = 4000kg/ha/year, med = 2000-4000kg/ha/year, low = 500-2000kg/ha/year.

Source: The Butterfly Pea Book: guide to establishing and managing butterfly pea pastures in central Queensland, 2005.

Grass hay

There area a range of grass crops which are suitable for hay production. Currently the Rhodes grass species are the most prominent in the north and provides another cropping rotation with peanuts, maize and rice. The Gilbert region is well placed to increase the current volume produced as it sits in the centre of the gulf grazing industry.

There are a range of hay types which could be grown, below is current mix grown on the Atherton Tableland which could be adapted to the proposed Gilbert River agricultural precinct.

Table 11. Grasses and legumes grown on the Atherton Tablelands

Нау Туре	Example of Varieties
Grasses	
<i>Chloris gayana</i> (dip)	Katambora, Finecut
Chloris gayana (tet)	Callide
Brachiaria decumbens	Signal (Basilisk)
Brachiaria humidicola	Tully
Setaria sphacelata and hybrids	Splenda, Narok, Solander, Splenda
Megathyrsus maximus (Gatton)	Gatton
Megathyrsus maximus (winter)	Hamil
Dichanthium aristatum	Floren

Нау Туре	Example of Varieties
Grasses cont.	
Bothriochloa spp.	Bisset, Dawson
Andropogon gayanus	Kent (gamba)
Urochloa mosambicensis	Nixon
Brachiaria hybrids	Mulato
Brachiaria brizantha	Toledo
Digitaria milanjiana	Jarra, Strickland
Legumes	
Lablab purpureus	Rongai, Highworth
Vigna unguiculata	Meringa
Glycine max	Leichhardt
Stylosanthes scabra	Seca, Siran
Stylosanthes hamata	Verano, Amiga
Stylosanthes guianensis	Nina, Temprano
Stylosanthes seabrana	Primar, Unica
Chamaecrista rotundifolia	Wynn
Macroptilium spp.	Siratro, Aztec, Cardaarga, Juanita
Arachis pintoi	Amarillo
Desmodium spp.	Silverleaf, Greenleaf
Neonotonia spp.	Cooper
Aeschynomene spp.	Lee, Reid, Kretcshmer
Desmanthus virgatus	Marc
Centrosema molle	Cardillo

Source: Atherton Tableland Agricultural Profile, DPI&F, 2008.

This leads to another possible cropping option, grass and legume seed production. The Atherton Tableland profiling analysis suggests that this industry has a farm gate value in excess of \$12 million annually. However, further analysis is required to review any further industry market expansion.

The hay industry is directly related to the prosperity of the grazing industry. Current analysis suggested that the industry is still vibrant and growing in the short to medium term with the growing demands for quality grass feed beef.

Analysis would indicate a cost differential on freight compared to the Atherton Tablelands giving the industry a comparative advantage.

A conservative area under production has been used of 800ha.

Supplemental forage cattle system

An emerging production system in the north is to use a fodder silage with a feed ration based around a paddock feed-out system. This type of system requires a high level of knowledge and expertise. The system is generally based on culling weaners at 150kg with a 180 day ration paddock feed-out. The production approach is to capture the market opportunity of young beef based around a grass/fodder system.

Market update

Current advice suggests a growing export cattle market for young, grass fed, accredited carcasses. Suggestions have been made that MLC will have this type of accreditation in place by mid 2009.

A conservative percentage (2%) for Etheridge shire cattle numbers and placement through a supplement feeding system has been used. This could attract some 6200 head of cattle, which could gain a price premium of an average current sale price of \$660 per head. The silage has been projected to be purchased from the precinct; therefore, it has not been listed as a cropping enterprise within the summary.

Summary of the base cropping scenario

Given the current information, and based on this current scenario, at least two major crops (peanuts and rice) and doubling of mango production would be required to gain an infrastructural critical mass for this agricultural precinct. This would also be in conjunction with a range of small cropping options. It would appear that this type of cropping mix and production area is required to limit production failure and market distortions and maximise the efficient use of land and water infrastructure.

The projections only give indicative likely outcomes of the proposed cropping income streams. It does not indicate the profitability or viability of the proposed Gilbert River agricultural precinct.

Table 12.	Snap shot of the	Gilbert River	agricultural	precinct	cropping	profile

Total area under major production	13800ha
Total gross revenue - farm gate	\$68821671
Total variable input costs – adjusted to cropping only	\$53258682
Gross margin – adjusted to cropping only	\$11462390
Gross margin per hectare – adjusted to cropping only	\$830.61/ha
Total irrigation water used	95550ML
Average irrigation used per hectare	6.74ML/ha
Selected production transported outside the region (mangoes, peanuts, rice, sorghum, soybeans, navy beans, melons, pumpkins and hay)	104613 tonnes

Table 13. Summary of the potential economic Gilbert River agricultural precinct profile



Potential cropping options which need further market and production analysis

Cotton

Cotton would suit the area as the high temperatures; low rain and high sunlight are advantageous to cotton production. Because of the permeability of the soils it is most likely that the crop would require micro irrigation. Spray irrigation is not recommended for cotton. Water requirements to grow a crop would be about 7-8ML/ha. Yields would be expected to be about eight bales per hectare.

The growing of cotton on permeable soils so close to the river would need to be carefully evaluated and strict codes of practice applied so that water quality did not impact on the Gulf fishing industry and downstream beef producers were not affected.

A small ginnery would require about 35000-40000 bales before being established. It would be expected that cotton would be rotated with crops such as soybeans or peanuts.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.54, DPI, 1999.

The Burdekin produced its first commercial crop of Bt[™] cotton in 2008 of 160ha, with solid yields achieved. The industry is now reviewing gin development options. If the ginnery goes ahead it may offer the ability of cotton being produced in the proposed Gilbert River agricultural precinct and being transported to the Burdekin for processing. Alternately, cotton could be grown on the Gilbert and transported for processing at a central location such as Mareeba or Charters Towers. Further analysis would be required on these and other production and transport issues.

Bananas

Bananas are available all year round from Queensland's major production areas; however, there are advantages in producing bananas in the Gilbert region. These include the fact that the slightly drier climate should reduce the volumes of pre-harvest chemicals required. Banana production in the Gilbert region is likely to be restricted to supplying bananas for import replacement in the processing sector as well as backup supply to Queensland's east coast producing regions in the event of cyclone destruction of their crop. These bananas crops may also provide additional supplies to the domestic and international organic banana markets.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.59, DPI, 1999.

Market update

The current production in Queensland is approximately 260000 tonnes, making up approximately 90% of the total Australian production, with Far North Queensland producing 95% of Queensland production. Cavendish bananas make up approx 95% of the market with the remainding 5% coming from lady finger, Goldfinger, Ducasse, FHIA 18, Red Dacca, Sucrier and Plantain. *Source: Industry statistics, Australian Banana Growers Council, 2008.*

Bananas have been produced in small commercial volumes in the Gilbert region since Cyclone Larry.

While this crop would appear to have opportunities, particularly in respect to the lesser varieties such as lady finger, further market analysis would be required as it would appear that the market is at equilibrium and now faces the threat of product importation from Philippines. The outcome of how successfully Philippines' bananas will penetrate the national market will have direct impact on whether further expansion is viable.

Table grapes

Table grapes tend to perform best in areas of low summer rainfall. The plant is susceptible to severe frost and frost of any sort in springtime is undesirable. Long hours of sunlight in spring and summer and warm temperatures for ripening fruit and building sugars are desirable. Grapes prefer well drained soils to encourage the development of a deep root system, which will lead to a sound, vigorous vine able to withstand adverse conditions. Poorly drained soils promote root disease.

Table grape production in the tropics is feasible due to recent advances in viticultural practices and production systems. New table grape vineyards will be planted with mostly seedless varieties, in response to trends in consumer preference. Yields of 7.5t/ha are projected for a fully developed table grape orchard. Irrigation requirements for table grapes are about 5ML/ha. Table grapes take three years to reach full production.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.63, DPI, 1999.

There are a number of small producers on the Atherton Tablelands and within the Burdekin irrigation region. It would appear there is an early market window for table grapes in October-November; however, it has been suggested that a great deal of skill and knowledge is required to bring a viable crop into this window.

Further trial work would be necessary to proof production and the market window.

Cashew

Cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.; Anacardiaceae) is a tropical evergreen tree from north-east Brazil. It ranks third in world production of edible tree nuts, with a current world production of about 700000 tonnes nut-in-shell (NIS). This is produced mainly in India, Brazil, Vietnam, Africa and South East Asia. Local consumption and demand by importing countries for cashews continues to increase providing opportunities for expansion of the crop worldwide. Nuts may be sold as NIS, raw kernel or as processed value-added products (roasted, chocolate coated, etc). NIS price is influenced by nut size and kernel recovery, which determines the yield of kernel to the processor. Kernel price is influenced by quality standards (e.g. kernel size and percentage of breakage) and these are defined by the International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO).

Cashews are well suited to the seasonally wet/dry tropical climate and does not suffer the perishability problems associated with other soft-fleshed tropical fruits. It can be stored for long periods and can withstand long distance transport.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.57, DPI, 1999.

Market update

There is a cashew orchard on the Atherton Tablelands of 240ha with approximately 48000 trees. This property was recently purchased by a Sydney investor; however, the future development plans of the property are unknown.

Source: Atherton Tableland Agricultural Profile, DPI&F, 2008.

Major market competition comes from Brazil, India and Mozambique cashews. This could be interrupted as a difficult market to develop. Therefore further market analysis would be required to attain the true market potential for this crop.

Citrus

Citrus in this scenario consists of grapefruit, mandarin and lemon, and without firm allocations of areas between these three. Citrus will tolerate high temperatures, provided the trees are well supplied with soil moisture. Trees are sensitive to frost when young or having a recent growth flush. By contrast, a mature tree with no new growth can tolerate light frost provided it is not under any stress. In general, planting citrus is not recommended in areas where heavy frost occurs regularly. Drier coastal or inland areas are preferred for citrus to reduce the risk of pest and disease problems.

Citrus trees require a minimum of 60cm of well-drained topsoil. A depth of 1m is preferable. Loams and sands are preferred, although very sandy soils have a low holding capacity and require expert management. Clay soils have a risk of tree death due to plant diseases that are prevalent in wetter soils. Yields for a mature citrus orchard of normal density (275-400 trees per hectare) range from 45-55t/ha. Irrigation requirements for citrus are about 8ML/ha. Citrus orchards will bear some crops after three years and reach maturity at 10 years.

Source: Gulf agro-economic study, p.61 DPI, 1999.

The Atherton Tableland has approximately 350ha under production with approximately 100000 trees, covering such tree crops as mandarins, oranges, lemons, limes and grapefruit.

Source: Atherton Tableland Agricultural Profile, DPI&F, 2008.

Further market analysis would be required to define whether there is a realistic market window for a both domestic and export trade.

Tropical fruit tree crops such as avocados, lychees, longans, etc may also provide cropping opportunities; however, extensive research and development, coupled with market research, would be needed to develop this opportunity.

Other grain crops

The Gilbert River region has grown a range of grains crops such as wheat, barley, oats, sunflowers, etc. While all these represent potential cropping opportunities further market research would be required to gain a better understanding of local market demand.

Modular abattoir concept

If the agricultural precinct was to precede this might give the impetus for a small modular styled abattoir concept. This would be on the back of the opportunities gained from the agricultural precinct with regards to fodder and cattle production. However, this would require detailed market analysis covering all the associated issues concerning an abattoir, such as potable water, waste management, labour sources, cattle sources, environmental issues, planning controls, transport linkages, etc.

Longer term potential cropping and industry alternatives require in-depth analysis but could have long term economic, social and environmental outcomes.

Organic precinct

Demand for organic food has increased markedly over the past few years. A number of factors have propelled the organic market inlcuding food scares (Mad cows, Dioxin levels, etc), awareness of health issues, genetically modified organisms, animal welfare and greater information and education profiles.

Source: Trade opportunities for organic foods, DPI&F, July 2007.

The world organic market is experiencing significant growth. The global food and drink market was valued at US\$23 billion in 2002 and was expected to be AU\$150 billion by 2006.

The Australian organic industry is currently valued at approximately \$350 million and is growing at 25% per year. Approximately 40% of production is destined for the export market.

Source: Trade opportunities for organic foods, DPI&F, July 2007.

This creates a distinctive opportunity for any new agricultural precinct as it could be developed from a base concept where all controls and accreditation would be in place prior to any type of cropping development occurring. This type of precinct would be marketed as a total organic precinct, similar to the King Island concept, offering special brand recognition in the market and thus potentially attracting a price premium.

This type of concept would be unique within the tropics and offer attributes such as a stand alone tourism destination and possibly create food processing opportunities for the region.

An organic precinct would open up a range of crops which currently have limited market access due to over production and potentially open up new markets both locally and from an export prospective. Advice from a Trade and Investment Officer with Queensland Primary Industry & Fisheries (QPIF), Department of Employment, Economic Development and Innoviation (DEEDI), has suggested that there is a large ready export market opportunity for organic grains in Asia and Arabic states which currently can not be met.

An organic precinct would be perceived as having environmental benefits which could potentially create fewer issues from a planning prospective.

Aquaculture

Currently there is no aquaculture gross margin analysis available. The production tables below have been presented to give an overview of the current production systems for barramundi and red-claw production in Queensland.

Aquaculture is a highly intensive production system with high capital, variable and fixed costs structures. These types of enterprises require high levels of management and are generally becoming highly vertically intergraded to guarantee supply.

Source: North Region Footprint, 2008, DPI&F.

Further research and development and market research would be necessary to capitalise on these potential opportunities.

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07
Total production (tonnes—whole-fish basis)	1437	1745	2091
Average price (\$/kg)	\$8.30	\$8.04	\$8.86
Total value (\$ million)	\$11.92	\$14.03	\$18.52
Pond production (tonnes)	No.	No.	No.
0.01 to 1.0	5	2	3
1.1 to 10.0	3	8	10
10.1 to 50.0	11	10	7
50.1 to 100.0	2	2	2
Over 100.0 (1)	4	4	5
Number of producing farms (1)	25	26	27
Number of non-producing farms	51	52	54
Total pond-based farms responding (1)	76	78	81
Tank production (tonnes)	No.	No.	No.
0.01 to 1.0	0	3	0
1.01 to 5.00	0	4	4
5.1 to 10.0	1	1	0
Over 10.00	2	3	2
Number of producing farms	3	11	6
Number of non-producing farms	17	26	31
Total recirculation farms responding	20	37	37
Pond & tank production (tonnes)	No.	No.	No.
Total number of responses	96	115	118
Number of farms surveyed	122	134	129

Table 14. Barramundi production in Queensland (2004-05 to 2006-07)

Source: Report to farmers, Aquaculture Production Survey Queensland 2006-07, DPI&F.

to 2006/07)							
		2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
Total production (tor	nnes)	98.6	104.9	100.2			

Table 15.	Redclaw crayfish fa	farm numbers	and production	levels in	Queensland	(2004/05
	to 2006/07)					

		-	-
Total production (tonnes)	98.6	104.9	100.2
Average price (\$/kg)	\$12.98	\$12.43	\$14.45
Total value (\$'000)	\$1.280	\$1.304	\$1.448
Pond & tank production (kg)	No.	No.	No.
1 to 100	17	18	9
101 to 500	26	20	12
501 to 1000	9	6	8
1001 to 5000	6	11	11
Over 5000	5	4	6
Number of producing farms	63	59	46
Number of non-producing farms	106	128	140
Number of responses	169	187	186
Number of farms surveyed	222	211	203

Source: Report to farmers, Aquaculture Production Survey Queensland 2006-07, DPI&F.

Biodiesel

In recent years there has been a significant interest from a number of local and international entrepreneurs wanting to develop annual and perennial oil crops in the region. Of these, three perennials - Jatropha curcas (physic nut), Millettia pinnata (pongam tree) and the oil palm - have been the subject of considerable scrutiny, but remain to be demonstrated commercially.

Source: North Region Footprint, 2008, DPI&F.

Opportunities for high yielding, annual oil crops like mustard, peanuts and new strains of soybeans, could be usefully grown as rotational crops in existing farming systems in the region. Potential areas for development of these crops include grazing and indigenous lands on Cape York Peninsula, the coastal Wet Tropics and Tablelands, as well as the Dry Tropics/Savannahs. There is also tremendous potential to develop biodiesel production from micro-algae.

Source: Scoping report for Gregory Downs District – Burke Shire, DPI&F, 2008.

For further information contact Peter Holden, DPI&F, Mareeba.

Forestry

Forestry is an emerging industry, particularly within the wet tropics region. There has been a suggestion that African mahogany may provide opportunities within the Gregory Downs district.

The tree rotation length is:

- African mahogany: 15-20 years
- Sandalwood: 15-20 years
- Teak: 20 years.

African mahogany (Khaya senegalensis)

In recent years shire councils, including Charters Towers and Mareeba, have investigated the use of plantations to efficiently recycle municipal effluent and have adopted African mahogany as an important component of these systems.

Numerous private landowners have invested their own money into well managed African mahogany plantings.

The area of the African mahogany estates in North Queensland is estimated at:

- Northern Tropical Timber plantations: 355 hectares
- Comalco: 165 hectares
- Private landowners: 156 hectares
- DPI&F trials: 12 hectares.

Source: Scoping report for Gregory Downs District – Burke Shire, DPI&F, 2008.

For further information contact Geoff Dickinson, QPIF, Mareeba.

References

- Anning P, Bennett C, Elliot P, Johnston W, Robertson C and O'Keefe V. *Gulf agro-economic study*. QDPI, June 1999.
- DPI&F, North Region Footprint, February 2008.
- DPI&F, Trade opportunities for organic foods, July 2007.
- Collins R and Grundy R. The butterfly pea book guide to establishing and managing butterfly pea pastures in central Queensland. DPI&F, 2005.
- English B. DPI&F Presentation Report on Silage Agribusiness Forum, Gregory Downs, October 2008. Industry Statistics, Australian Banana Growers Council, 2008.
- Mason G. DPI&F Draft Atherton Tablelands Agricultural Economic Profile, August 2008.
- Mason G. DPI&F Draft Burdekin Agricultural Economic Profile, October 2008.
- Mason G. DPI&F Scoping report for Gregory Downs District Burke Shire, November 2008.
- OESR, Queensland Regional profiles Etheridge. February 2009.

Peanut Company of Australia, Annual general report, 2006.

Report to farmers, Aquaculture Production Survey Queensland. 2006-07 DPA.G, QDPI&F.

Personal communications

Andrew Conway, Leader (Water Resource Management), DERM, Cloncurry, Queensland.

Bart Larard, Senior Agronomist, Peanut Company of Australia, Tolga, Queensland.

Bernie English, Senior Extension Officer, QPIF, DEEDI, Kairi, Queensland.

Chris Crawford, Development Manager, Blue Ribbon Seeds, Kenmore, Brisbane, Queensland.

Daryl Barlow, Development & Project Officer, Peanut Company of Australia, Kingaroy, Queensland.

Kendrick Cox, Research Scientist, QPIF, DEEDI, Walkamin, Queensland.

Mike Heddrich, General Manger (Grower Services), Sunrice.

Nigel Kelly, Regional Manager, DERM, Cairns, Queensland.

Peter Holden, Industry Development Officer, QPIF, DEEDI, Mareeba, Queensland.

Roger Kaus, Principle Trade & Investment Officer, QPIF, DEEDI, Townsville, Queensland.

Russell Ford, Manager, Rice Research Australia Pty Ltd.

Stephen Donnelly, Managing Director, Blue Ribbon Seeds, Kenmore, Brisbane, Queensland.

Appendix 1. Gross margins

Peanuts

Total area (ha)	0	
Area (ha)	1	
Average Price \$/tonne	\$	900.00
Yield (tonnes/ha)	4.50	
Yield total tonnes	0	
Income/ha	\$	4,050.00
Total Income	\$	-

To be used as a guide only

variable costs							Cost/ha	Cos	st/ha	Tota	al cost
	AVNo operts		Hrs/ha	Cost/h	а						
Machinery operations											
Oth Deep signi	er	~		25	-		74.04				
Deep rippii	ig	2		35.	с С		71.04				
Botany H	iy Na	1		23.	3		23.33				
Cultivati		2		14	1		28.12				
Planti	'9 Ia	1		47	5		47 51				
Spravi	'9 Ia	10		81	2		81 52		315.02	\$	-
Planting	9	10	Unit cost	0.2	-		01.02		010.02	Ψ	
Ser	h	1	125		2 19		273 75				
Inocular	ts	1	1.60		5		8.00		281.75	\$	-
Fertiliser	No appls		No/kg/ha	No/l/ha	3	\$/ka/ L				*	
Lime / 5% Magnesiu	m	1.00	1250.00		-	0.13	160.00				
CK 66 / Cropmasta	1	1.00	185.00			1.25	231.25				
Zinc Sulpha	te	2.00	1.00			1.96	3.92				
Sodium Molvbdi	te	2.00	1.00			0.88	1.75				
Ure	a	4.00	3.00			1.04	12.53				
Calcium Nitra	te	0.00	4.00			0.80	0.00				
Solub	or	2.00	1.50			3.52	10.56				
Oth	ər	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00				
Oth	ər						0.00		420.01	\$	-
Herbicide control											
Flan	e	0.00			0.40	182.00	0.00				
Dual Go	ld	0.00			2.00	32.04	0.00				
Prometryn 90	00	1.00			1.00	26.00	26.00				
Roundi	ıp	0.00			1.50	12.82	0.00				
Ston	ip	0.00			3.00	7.22	0.00				
Basagra	in	2.00			2.00	35.04	140.16				
2,4-D	В	1.00			0.50	9.50	4.75				
2,4DB (clean u	o)	1.00			1.70	19.00	32.30				
Blaz	er	1.00			2.00	40.00	80.00				
Fusillade For	te	1.00			1.24	51.45	63.80				
Wetting Age	nt	2.00			1.00	6.35	12.70		359.71	\$	-
Fungicide							_				
Chlorothalo	nil	6.00			2.50	11.00	165.00				
A	to	1.00			0.60	132.40	79.44				
Folic	ur	0.00			0.44	48.00	0.00				
agride	ex	3.00			1.00	7.10	21.30				
Amistar Xt	ra	2.00			0.50	187.11	187.11		456.79		
Insecticide		4 00			~ ~ ~	44.05	0.04				
Dimethoa	le Id	1.00			0.35	11.25	3.94				
Vivus Go		0.00			0.38	151.00	0.00				
Lanna		0.00			2.00	10.74	0.00				
LOISD	ui ol	0.00			0.90	17.25	0.00		2.04		
Niti	UI	0.00			0.23	27.40 ¢/unit	0.00		5.94	¢	
Irrigation	NAL -	(ha	0.50			φ/UΠΙΙ 25.00	333 50		322 50	ф С	-
harvesting/marketing	No operat	ione	9.00 ¢/hr	T/bo		Junit cost	JJZ.50		332.30	φ	-
narvesung/markeung Diagi	no operat	1 00	φ/11	1/112	1 00	55 02	55 02		55.02		
Diggii Throchi	9	1.00			1.00	133 11	508.02		508.00		
Cleani	9	1.00			4.50	15.00	67 50		67 50		
dnie	.9	1 00			4 50	30.00	135.00		135.00		
freight to PC	A	1.00			4.50	25.00	112.50		112.50	\$	-
levies						0.10	45.00		45.00	ŝ	-
						0.10	.0.00		.0.00	Ť	
Wad	es	1.00	20.00			3.00	60.00		60.00	\$	-
			0			T	otal costs	\$	3.244	\$	-
						'	Incomo	¢	4 050	¢	
							Monetaria	φ ¢	4,030	φ	-
						Gro	ss wargin	\$	806.28	\$	-
Canta & Daturas affanta afui		.									

Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices

Expected On-farm

Expected Yield (t/ha)

Expected Off-failin	Expected field (tilla)									
Price (\$/t)	3.15	3.6	4.05	4.50	4.95	8.4	5.85			
\$630	-\$581	-\$380	-\$179	\$22	\$223	\$424	\$625			
\$720	-\$380	-\$150	\$79	\$309	\$539	\$768	\$998			
\$810	-\$179	\$79	\$338	\$596	\$854	\$1,113	\$1,371			
\$900	\$22	\$309	\$596	\$883	\$1,170	\$1,457	\$1,744			
\$990	\$223	\$539	\$854	\$1,170	\$1,486	\$1,801	\$2,117			
\$1,080	\$424	\$768	\$1,113	\$1,457	\$1,801	\$2,146	\$2,490			
\$1,170	\$625	\$998	\$1,371	\$1,744	\$2,117	\$2,490	\$2,863			

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for ar decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Rice (dry land)

To be used as a guide only

									PER HA	TOTAL
INCOME Prico/to	E (\$/ha)	Yield (T	/ha)		(\$/tonne)		\$450.00	/tonne		
less:	Cartage	(Farm to	Depot)		\$20.00		 գ450.00 \$20.00	/tonne		
1033.	Freight	(1 ann to	Depoty		ψ20.00		ψ20.00	/tonne		
	Storage							/tonne		
	otorago							/tonne		
ON-FAR	RM PRICE (\$/tonne)						\$430	/tonne		
GROSS	IELD INCOME (\$/ha)						7.00	t/na	\$3.010	\$0
VARIAB	BLE COSTS (\$/ha)								\$0,010	ψŪ
Machine	ery Operations (F.O.R.M)								
	Primary tillage				1	х	\$34.88	each	\$34.88	\$0
	Secondary tillage				2	х	\$29.57	each	\$59.14	\$0 \$0
	Hilling	or			1	x	\$25.57 \$15.66	each	\$25.57 \$15.66	\$0 \$0
	Boom spraving	ei			3	×	\$16.87	each	\$50.60	\$0 \$0
	Planting				1	x	\$29.68	each	\$29.68	\$0
	Laser levelling	(assume	1/3 level	led/yr)	0.30	х	\$100.00	each	\$30.00	\$0
	operation					х		each		
Follow	proving						Mach total	¢045 50		
Fallow S	alvabosate	1	enrave	~	1 20 1	×	\$8.70	\$245.55 /I	\$10.44	\$0
	herbicide		spravs	x	1.20 L	x	ψ0.70	/L	\$10. 44	ψŪ
	herbicide		sprays	х	L	x		/L		
						C	hemical total	\$10.44		
Seed					150.0 kg	х	\$0.39	/kg	\$58.50	\$0
Fortilico	r									
i ennise	Urea	1			195 0 ka	x	\$750.00	/tonne	\$146.25	\$0
	Superphosphate	1			284.0 kg	x	\$980.00	/tonne	\$278.32	\$0
	Muriate of potash	1			50.0 kg	х	\$1,113.00	/tonne	\$55.65	\$0
	Zinc	1			50.0 kg	х	\$49.00	/tonne	\$2.45	\$0
l lasti i - i - i	Urea	1	top dres	iS	195.0 kg	x	\$750.00	/tonne	\$146.25	\$0
Herbicid	Barastorm	4	enreve	v	2 50 1		Caro 75	\$628.92 /I	\$46.00	¢0
	Starane	1	sprays	x	2.50 L 0.40 l	x	\$35.04	/L	\$40.88 \$14.02	\$0 \$0
	Herbicide		sprays	x	0.00 L	x	φ00.04	/L	φ14.02	ψŬ
Insectici	ide									
	Chlorphrifos	1	sprays	x	2.00 L	x	\$13.15	/L	\$26.30	\$0 ©0
	insecticide		sprays	x	1.20 L	x	\$17.25	/L	\$20.70	\$U
	moodioide		oprayo	~	0.00 E	~		, 2		
Fungicid	de									
	fungicide		sprays	х	L	х		/L		
	fungicide		sprays	х	L	х		/L		
Growth I	Regulator									
Siewull			sprays	х	L	x		/L		
							Chemical	\$107.89		
Aerial sp	pray (fertiliser)		1			х	\$50.00	/ha	\$50	\$ 0
Aerial In	nage		1		8.50 MI	x	\$3.85	/ha /MI	\$3.85	\$0 \$0
Insuranc	11 De		Rate (%	of Inc)	1 65%	^	\$49.67	/ML /ha	\$49.67	\$0 \$0
Researc	ch levy		1440 (76		3.00 \$/t		ψ+0.01	/na	\$21.00	ψŬ
						TOTAL P	RE-HARVES	T COSTS =>	\$1,516	\$0
Pre-harv	vest spray									
Honyooti	ing		sprays	х	L	х		/L		
naivesii	Own Harvesting Costs						\$0.00	/ha		
	Contract header	·			10.0 T/hou	ur @	\$25.00	/hr	\$175.00	\$0
	plus fuel				32.3 L/ha	х	\$1.20	/L	\$38.80	\$0
	Chaser bin				0.3 Hr/ha	à	\$45.78		\$14.65	
	Drying cost	Harvest	@ 19%		05 0 A/T				00.45.00	
		Process	@ 14%		35.0 \$/1		Hanvost	¢172 15	\$245.00	
							ADVEST	φ473.40 CTC ->	\$472	¢0
ΤΟΤΑΙ	VARIABLE COSTS (\$/h	na)					ANVEST CO		\$1,989	\$0
Income	per ha							•••••	\$3,010	
GROSS	MARGIN (\$/ha)								\$1,021	\$0
GROSS	MARGIN (\$/ML)	00070	n)						\$120	
YIELD T	OCOVER VARIABLE	COSTS (t	/ha)						4.63	
	I O GOVER VARIABLE		p/L)						\$304	1

Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices

Expected On-farm	Expected Yield (t/ha)										
Price (\$/t)	4.9	5.6	6.3	7.00	7.7	8.4	9.1				
\$301	-\$514	-\$304	-\$93	\$118	\$328	\$539	\$750				
\$344	-\$304	-\$63	\$178	\$419	\$660	\$900	\$1,141				
\$387	-\$93	\$178	\$449	\$720	\$991	\$1,262	\$1,532				
\$430	\$118	\$419	\$720	\$1,021	\$1,322	\$1,623	\$1,924				
\$473	\$328	\$660	\$991	\$1,322	\$1,653	\$1,984	\$2,315				
\$516	\$539	\$900	\$1,262	\$1,623	\$1,984	\$2,345	\$2,706				
\$559	\$750	\$1,141	\$1,532	\$1,924	\$2,315	\$2,706	\$3,098				

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Maize (irrigated)

To be used as a guide only

							PER HA	TOTAL
INCOME (\$/ha) Price/tonne						\$300.00 /toppe		
less: Cartage	(Farm to	Depot)				\$0.00 /tonne		
Freight	(i ann io	Depot)				/tonne		
Drving						/tonne		
Storage						/tonne		
Levies						/tonne		
ON-FARM PRICE (\$/tonne)						\$300 /tonne		
times YIELD						8.20 t/ha		
GROSS INCOME (\$/ha)							\$2,460	\$0
VARIABLE COSTS (\$/ha) Machinery Operations (E O R M	1)							
Primary tillage	')			1	х	\$44.88 each	\$44.88	\$0
Secondary tillage				1	х	\$38.40 each	\$38.40	\$0
Fertiliser application				1	х	\$7.56 each	\$7.56	\$0
Inter-row tillage				1	х	\$21.13 each	\$21.13	\$0
Boom spraying				2	х	\$16.58 each	\$33.15	\$0
Planting				1	х	\$23.48 each	\$23.48	\$0
operation					х	each		
Fallow spraving						Mach total 168 61049		
glyphosate	1	spravs	х	1.20 L	х	\$12.82 /L	\$15.38	\$0
herbicide		spravs	x	L	x	/L		
herbicide		sprays	x	Ĺ	x	/L		
					(Chemical total 15.3822		
Seed	77000	<= seed	ls/ha		х	\$3.30 /1000 seeds	\$254.10	
Fortilisor								
Veg eking TE				125 kg	х	\$1,020.00 /tonne	\$127.50	\$0
Tunza				620 kg	х	\$1,100.00 /tonne	\$682.00	\$0
Vigortig				4 L	х	\$4.69 /L	\$18.76	
Lig Zinc + Boron				4.00 L	х	\$1.96 /L	\$7.84	\$0
Herbicide						Fertiliser total 836.1		
Roundup Max	1	sprays	х	2.50 L	х	\$17.00 /L	\$42.50	\$0
Surpass	1	sprays	х	0.70 L	х	\$6.00 /L	\$4.20	\$0
L1700	1	sprays	х	0.15 L	х	\$0.00 /L	\$0.00	\$0
Dual gold	1	sprays	х	2.00 L	х	\$32.04 /L	\$64.08	\$0
Insecticide				0.00.1		*FO OO //	# 40.04	6 0
Gemstar	1	sprays	x	0.38 L	X	\$52.90 /L	\$19.84	\$0
insecticide		sprays	x	0.00 L	x	\$0.00 /L \$0.00 /l		
moconolac		oprayo	~	0.00 L	~	φ0.00 /L		
Fungicide								
fungicide		sprays	х	L	х	\$0.00 /L		
fungicide		sprays	х	L	х	\$0.00 /L		
Growth Regulator								
Crop Desiccation		sprays	х	L	х	\$0.00 /L		
Aerial spray					1 x	\$40.00 /ha		
					. ^	Chemical 130.6175		
Scouting						\$0.00 /ha		
Irrigation				6.00 MI	v	\$40.00 /MI	\$240.00	\$0
Casual labour				0.00 WL	^	\$0.00 /ha	φ <u>2</u> -10.00	ψŪ
Chipping						\$0.00 /ha		
Insurance						\$0.00 /ha		
Pre-harvest sprav				IOTAL PRE-HA	RVES	COSTS	\$1,645	\$0
		sprays	x	L	x	/L		
Harvesting:								
Own Harvesting Cost	s					\$0.00 /ha		
Contract header				1.8 ha/hour	@	\$250.00 /hr	\$138.89	\$0
plus fuel				32.3 L/ha	х	\$1.10 /L	\$35.57	\$0
Freight				8.2 Tonnes		\$0.00	\$0.00	
				TOTAL HARVES	т со	STS	\$174	\$0
TOTAL VARIABLE COSTS (\$/	ha)					· ·	\$1,819	\$0
GROSS MARGIN (\$/ha)							\$641	\$0
GROSS MARGIN (\$/ML)							\$107	
YIELD TO COVER VARIABLE	COSTS (t/ha)					6.06	
PRICE TO COVER VARIABLE	COSTS (\$/t)					\$222	

Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices

Expected On-farm		Expected Yield (t/ha)									
Price (\$/t)	5.74	6.56	7.38	8.20	9.02	9.84	10.66				
\$210	-\$614	-\$442	-\$269	-\$97	\$75	\$247	\$419				
\$240	-\$442	-\$245	-\$48	\$149	\$346	\$542	\$739				
\$270	-\$269	-\$48	\$173	\$395	\$616	\$838	\$1,059				
\$300	-\$97	\$149	\$395	\$641	\$887	\$1,133	\$1,379				
\$330	\$75	\$346	\$616	\$887	\$1,157	\$1,428	\$1,699				
\$360	\$247	\$542	\$838	\$1,133	\$1,428	\$1,723	\$2,018				
\$390	\$419	\$739	\$1,059	\$1,379	\$1,699	\$2,018	\$2,338				

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Sorghum

To be used as a guide only

								PER HA	TOTAL
INCOME	E (\$/ha)	Yield (T/	'ha)		(\$/tonne)		\$200.00 //		
Price/tor	nne Cartage	(Farm to	Depot)				\$300.00 /tonne \$0.00 /tonne		
1635.	Freight	(i ann to	Depoi)				/tonne		
	Storage						/tonne		
	otologo						/tonne		
							\$000 //seco	-	
times YI	IM PRICE (\$/tonne)						\$300 /tonne 6.20 t/ha		
GROSS	INCOME (\$/ha)						0.20 011a	\$1,860	\$0
VARIAB	LE COSTS (\$/ha)								
Machine	ry Operations (F.O.R.M))							
	Primary tillage				1	х	\$34.88 each	\$34.88	\$0 ©0
	Secondary image				0	X	\$29.57 each	\$29.57	фU
	Inter-row tillage/fertilis	er			0	x	\$15.66 each		
	Boom spraying				1	x	\$16.87 each	\$16.87	\$0
	Planting				1	х	\$29.68 each	\$29.68	\$0
	Laser levelling	(assume	1/3 level	led/yr)	0.00	х	\$100.00 each		
	operation					х	each		
Fallow sr	oraving						Mach total \$111.00	1	
i anow sp	alvohosate	1.00	spravs	x	2 50 1	×	\$8.70 //	\$21.75	\$0
	Surpass	1.00	sprays	x	0.7 L	x	\$6.00 /L	\$4.20	\$0
	LI700	1.00	sprays	x	0.15 L	x	11.006 /L	\$1.65	\$0
						C	Chemical total \$27.60	D	
Seed					9.0 kg	х	\$5.50 /kg	\$49.50	\$0
Fortilier									
Fertiliser	Lirea	1.00			430.0 kg	×	\$750.00 /toppe	\$322.50	\$0
	Superphosphate	1.00			150.0 kg	×	\$980.00 /tonne	\$147.00	\$0
	Muriate of potash	-			50.0 kg	x	\$1,113.00 /tonne	\$0.00	\$0
	Zinc				50.0 kg	x	\$49.00 /tonne	\$0.00	\$0
	Urea	-	top dres	s	195.0 kg	х	\$750.00 /tonne	\$0.00	\$0
Herbicide	е						Fertiliser total \$469.50		
	Dual gold	1.00	sprays	х	2.00 L	х	\$32.04 /L	\$64.08	\$0
desiccati	croundup max	1.00	sprays	x	1.50 L	х	\$17.00 /L	\$25.50	\$0
		-	sprays	x	3.00 L	x	/L	\$0.00	\$0
Insecticio	de								
	Gemstar	1.00	spravs	х	0.38 L	х	\$52.90 /L	\$19.84	\$0
		-	sprays	х	1.20 L	х	\$17.25 /L	\$0.00	\$0
			sprays	х	0.00 L	х	/L		
Fungicide	e						л		
	fungicide		sprays	x	L	x	/L		
	Turigicide		sprays	x	L	x	/L		
Growth F	Regulator								
	0		sprays	х	L	х	/L		
	<i></i>						Chemical \$109.42		
Aerial sp	ray (fertiliser)		(2		x	\$50.00 /ha	\$U \$2.95	¢0
Irrigation	lage		(,	4.00 MI	~	\$3.65 /IIa \$40.00 /MI	\$3.65 \$160.00	φ0 \$0
Insurance	e		Rate (%	of Inc)	0.00%	^	\$0.00 /ha	\$100.00	ΨΟ
Research	h levy			,	0.00 \$/t		•••••	\$0.00	
					т	OTAL F	RE-HARVEST COSTS =>	\$931	\$0
Pre-harv	est spray								
Llan			sprays	х	L	х	/L		
Harvestir	Ny: Own Harvesting Costs						/ho		
	Contract header	b			10.0 T/bour	Ø	\$80.00 /hr	\$496.00	\$0
	plus fuel				32.3 L/ha	x	\$0.00 /L	\$0.00	\$0
	Chaser bin				0.3 Hr/ha		\$0.00	\$0.00	
	Cartage				50.0 \$/T			\$310.00	
	Drying cost	Harvest	@ 19%						
		Process	@ 14%		0.0 \$/T			\$0.00	
					_		Harvest \$806.00		
TOTAL)			т	JTAL H	ARVEST COSTS =>	\$806	\$0
Income	variable COSIS (\$/h	1d)						\$1,/3/	\$0
GROSS	MARGIN (\$/ha)							\$1,000	\$0
GROSS	MARGIN (\$/ML)							\$31	
YIELD T	O COVER VARIABLE	COSTS (t	/ha)					5.79	
PRICE T	O COVER VARIABLE	COSTS (\$	5/t)					\$280	

Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices Costs & Returns - effects of yields and prices

	Expected On-farm	Expected Yield (t/ha)								
	Price (\$/t)	4.34	4.96	5.58	6.20	6.82	7.44	8.06		
Г	\$210	-\$825	-\$695	-\$565	-\$435	-\$305	-\$174	-\$44		
	\$240	-\$695	-\$546	-\$398	-\$249	-\$100	\$49	\$198		
	\$270	-\$565	-\$398	-\$230	-\$63	\$105	\$272	\$439		
	\$300	-\$435	-\$249	-\$63	\$123	\$309	\$495	\$681		
	\$330	-\$305	-\$100	\$105	\$309	\$514	\$718	\$923		
	\$360	-\$174	\$49	\$272	\$495	\$718	\$942	\$1,165		
	\$390	-\$44	\$198	\$439	\$681	\$923	\$1,165	\$1,407		

Disclaimer
This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Soybeans (irrigated)

To be used as a guide only

								PER HA	TOTAL
INCOME (\$/ha)	Yield (T	/ha)		(\$/tonne)					
Price/tonne						\$550.00	/tonne		
less: Cartage							/tonne		
Freight							/tonne		
Drying							/tonne		
Storage							/tonne		
Levies							/tonne		
ON-FARM PRICE (\$/tonne)						\$550	/tonne		
times YIELD						2.50	t/ha	\$4.27E	¢0
VARIABLE COSTS (\$/ha)								\$1,375	۵ 0
Machinery Operations (F.O.R.M)								
Primary tillage	,			3	х	\$24.48	each	\$73.45	\$0
Secondary tillage				2	х	\$19.02	each	\$38.05	\$0
Fertiliser application				0	х	\$7.56	each		
Inter-row tillage				2	х	\$21.13	each	\$42.27	\$0
Boom spraying				2	х	\$16.58	each	\$33.15	\$0
Planting (assume own	planter)			1	х	\$23.48	each	\$23.48	\$0
Laser levelling				0.00	х	\$60.00	each		
operation					х		each		
Fallow spraving						Mach total	210.40		
dvphosate	1	sprave	¥	1 20 1	Y	\$12.82	/1	\$15.38	\$0
Surpass	1	sprays	x	071	Ŷ	\$6.00	/	\$4.20	\$0
LIZOO	1	sprays	Ŷ	0.15	Ŷ	ψ0.00	/L	\$0.00	\$0
LIVOO		opiayo	~	0.10 2	Â	Chemical total	19.58	φ0.00	ψŪ
Seed				60.0 ka	х	\$1.40	/kg	\$84.00	\$0
Inoculants				0.00		\$0.00	. 3		
Fertiliser									
Veg eking				100 kg	х	\$1,113.00	/tonne	\$111.30	\$0
				kg	х		/tonne		
Herbicide						Fertiliser total	111.30		
Dual gold	1	sprays	х	2.00 L	х	\$32.04	/L	\$64.08	\$0
		sprays	х	g	х		/L		
		sprays	х	L	х		/L		
Incenticide									
Marlin	1	enrave	v	2 00 1	×	\$10.00	/I	\$20.00	02
Decis	1	enrave	Ŷ	2.00 L	Ŷ	\$22.50	/L	\$11.25	ΦΦ \$0
Dimethoate	1	sprays	Ŷ	0.50 L	Ŷ	\$11.25	/L	\$5.63	\$0 \$0
Dimolificato	•	opiajo	~	0.00 2	~	¢20	,_	\$0.00	Ψũ
Fungicide									
fungicide		sprays	х	L	х	\$0.00	/L		
fungicide		sprays	х	L	х	\$0.00	/L		
Growth Bogulator									
Glowin Regulator		spravs	x	1	x	\$0.00	/I		
Crop Desiccation				_					
Regione	1	sprays	х	3 L	х	\$22.00		\$66.00	\$0
						Chemical	166.955		
Aerial spray					х	30	/ha	\$0	
Scouting						\$0.00	/ha		
Irrigation				5.50 ML	х	\$40.00	/ML	\$220.00	\$0
Casual labour						\$0.00	/ha		
Chipping						\$0.00	/ha		
Insurance						\$0.00	/ha		
				TOTAL PRE-H	ARVES	ST COSTS		\$812	\$0
Pre-harvest spray									
		sprays	х	L	х		/L		
Harvesting:									
Own Harvesting Costs	5				-	\$0.00	/ha	A 1 A 1	<i>.</i>
Contract header				2.5 ha/hour	@	\$250.00	/hr	\$100.00	\$0
plus tuel				32.3 L/ha	х	\$1.10	/L	\$35.57	\$0
Freight				2.5 Tonnes		\$80.00	405 57	\$200.00	
						narvest STS	135.57	\$326	¢ŋ
TOTAL VARIABLE COSTS (\$/	na)					010		\$1,148	\$0 \$0
Income per ha								\$1,375	ψυ
GROSS MARGIN (\$/ha)								\$227	\$0
GROSS MARGIN (\$/ML)								\$41	
YIELD TO COVER VARIABLE	COSTS (t/ha)						2.09	
PRICE TO COVER VARIABLE	COSTS (\$/t)						\$459	

Costs & Returns - effects of yields and pricesCosts & Returns - effects of yields and prices

Expected On-farm	Expected Yield (t/ha)										
Price (\$/t)	1.75	2	2.25	2.50	2.75	3	3.25				
\$385	-\$474	-\$378	-\$282	-\$185	-\$89	\$7	\$103				
\$440	-\$378	-\$268	-\$158	-\$48	\$62	\$172	\$282				
\$495	-\$282	-\$158	-\$34	\$90	\$213	\$337	\$461				
\$550	-\$185	-\$48	\$90	\$227	\$365	\$502	\$640				
\$605	-\$89	\$62	\$213	\$365	\$516	\$667	\$818				
\$660	\$7	\$172	\$337	\$502	\$667	\$832	\$997				
\$715	\$103	\$282	\$461	\$640	\$818	\$997	\$1,176				

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sough

Navy beans (irrigated)

To be used as a guide only

								PER HA	TOTAL
INCOM	E (\$/ha)	Yield (1	ſ/ha)		(\$/tonne)		\$000 00 /terre		
Price/to	Cartago						\$900.00 /tonne		
1655.	Ereight						/tonne		
	Drving						/tonne		
	Storage						/tonne		
	Levies						/tonne		
ON-FAR	M PRICE (\$/tonne)						\$900 /toppe		
times Y	IELD						2.20 t/ha		
GROSS	INCOME (\$/ha)							\$1,980	\$0
VARIAE	SLE COSTS (\$/ha)	n							
Machine	Primary tillage)			3	Y	\$24.48 each	\$73.45	\$0
	Secondary tillage				2	x	\$19.02 each	\$38.05	\$0
	Fertiliser application				0	x	\$7.56 each		
	Inter-row tillage				2	х	\$21.13 each	\$42.27	\$0
	Boom spraying				2	х	\$16.58 each	\$33.15	\$0
	Planting (assume own	n planter)			1	х	\$23.48 each	\$23.48	\$0
	Laser levelling				0.00	х	\$60.00 each		
	operation					х	each		
Fallow s	praving						Mach total 210.40)	
	glyphosate	1	spravs	x	2,50 L	x	\$12.82 /L	\$32.05	\$0
	Surpass	1	spravs	x	0.7 L	x	\$6.00 /L	\$4.20	\$0
	LI700	1	sprays	x	0.15 L	x	¢0.000 /2 /L	\$0.00	\$0
			-1 - 7 -			(Chemical total 36.25	5	•••
Seed					63.0 kg	х	\$1.40 /kg	\$88.20	\$0
Inocular	its				0.00		\$0.00		
Fertilise	r						.		
	Veg eking				63 kg	х	\$1,113.00 /tonne	\$70.12	\$0
	Urea				100 kg	х	\$1,044.00 /tonne	\$104.40	\$0
Horbicid	0						Fortilisor total 174.52		
rierbiciu	Dual Gold	1	sprays	x	2 00 1	x	\$32.04 //	\$64.08	\$0
	Dual Cold		sprays	x	2.00 E	x	φ02.04 /L /I	φ04.00	ψŪ
			sprays	x	Ľ	x	/L		
Insectici	de								
	Marlin	1	sprays	x	2.00 L	x	\$10.00 /L	\$20.00	\$0 ©0
	Dimothoato	1	sprays	x	0.50 L	x	\$22.00 /L \$11.25 /l	\$11.20	\$0 \$0
	Dimetrioate		spiays	^	0.30 L	^	φ11.23 /L	φ3.03	φU
Fungicio	le								
	fungicide		sprays	х	L	х	\$0.00 /L		
	fungicide		sprays	х	L	х	\$0.00 /L		
Growth	Regulant								
			sprays	х	L	х	\$0.00 /L		
Crop De	siccation		-						
	Regione	1	sprays	х	3 L	х	\$22.00	\$66.00	\$0
A							Chemical 166.955	\$ 0	
Aerial sp	bray					х	30 /ha	\$0	
Irrigation	וי ר				5.00 MI	¥	\$40.00 /MI	\$200.00	\$0
Casual I	abour				0.00 IVIL	^	\$0.00 /ha	φ200.00	ψυ
Chipping]						\$0.00 /ha		
Insuranc	, ce						\$0.00 /ha		
Dro bo-	(act coro)/				TOTAL PRE-HA	RVES	ST COSTS	\$876	\$0
rie-narv	rest spray		sprave	×	I	x	/I		
Harvesti	ing:		op.030	^	L	~	/=		
	Own Harvesting Costs	5					\$0.00 /ha		
	Contract header				2.5 ha/hour	@	\$250.00 /hr	\$100.00	\$0
	plus fuel				32.3 L/ha	х	\$1.10 /L	\$35.57	\$0
	Freight				2.2 Tonnes		\$80.00	\$176.00	
					TOTAL UNB	T 0-	Harvest 135.57	£040	¢0
ΤΟΤΑΙ		ha)			IUIAL HARVES		1919	\$312	\$0
Income	per ha	naj						\$1,980	ψŪ
GROSS	MARGIN (\$/ha)							\$792	\$0
GROSS	MARGIN (\$/ML)							\$158	
YIELD 1	O COVER VARIABLE	COSTS	(t/ha)					1.32	
PRICE	TO COVER VARIABLE	COSTS	(\$/t)					\$540	

Costs & Returns - effects of yields and pricesCosts & Returns - effects of yields and prices

Expected On-farm	Expected Yield (t/ha)									
Price (\$/t)	1.54	1.76	1.98	2.20	2.42	2.64	2.86			
\$630	-\$218	-\$79	\$60	\$198	\$337	\$475	\$614			
\$720	-\$79	\$79	\$238	\$396	\$555	\$713	\$871			
\$810	\$60	\$238	\$416	\$594	\$772	\$951	\$1,129			
\$900	\$198	\$396	\$594	\$792	\$990	\$1,188	\$1,386			
\$990	\$337	\$555	\$772	\$990	\$1,208	\$1,426	\$1,644			
\$1,080	\$475	\$713	\$951	\$1,188	\$1,426	\$1,663	\$1,901			
\$1,170	\$614	\$871	\$1,129	\$1,386	\$1,644	\$1,901	\$2,158			

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Mung beans

To be used as a guide only

											\$/ha
INCOME									a guide only		
Mung Beans Burdekin			1.60	tonnes /ha	@		\$1,100.00				
				% Graded	Price		Yield t/ha \$/	ha			
		Grades	Sprouting	85	%	\$935	1.36	\$1,272			
			Cooking	40		\$800	0.00	\$0			
			Processing	13	% %	\$143	0.21	\$3U \$0			
			Rubbian	2	/0	ψŪ	1.60	ψυ			
				100	%		Sub Total	\$1,301 /	ha		
TOTAL INCOME: \$/ha							less grading \$ Total	/*************************************	t ha		\$1,173.34
VARIABLE COSTS											
Machinery operations		No opts			From	form cos	sts				
Disc		2				34.51				69.03	
Rotary hoeing		1				77.32				77.32	
Contract planting										\$74.00	
Seed			22	2 kg/ha	@		\$1.40 /k	g		\$30.80	
Fertiliser - planting	Veg eking		10	0 kg/ha	@		\$1,020 /to	onne		\$102.00	
Fertiliser - growing				0 kg/ha	@		\$0 /to	onne		\$0.00	
Fertiliser - Iolia			,	l/ha	@		\$0.00 /1			\$0.00	
				l/ha	@		\$0.00 /1			\$0.00	
Folia Application - Ground			(0 application	@		\$0.00 /p	ass			
					_					\$0.00	
Herbicide - knockdown		Roundup Max	2.	5 L/ha	@		\$12.27 /L			\$30.69	
		Surpass	0.1	7 L/ha F L/ha	@ @		\$6.00 /L			\$4.20	
Herbicide - pre emergent		Stomp	0.1	2 L/na 2 L/ha	@		\$0.00 /L \$10 80 /l			\$1.20	
riorbiolae pro omorgoni		otomp		0 L/ha	@		\$0.00 /L			\$0.00	
Herbicide Application - Ground	d		:	2 Passes	@		\$30.00 /p	ass		\$60.00	
Incontinidad				01/bo	0		\$0.00 //			\$0.00	
Insecticides		Steward	0.	0 L/na 4 I/ha	@		\$0.00 /L \$58 90 /I			\$0.00 \$23.56	
		Dimethoate	0.5	5 L/ha	@		\$7.90 /L			\$3.95	
Insecticide Application - Groun	nd		:	2 Passes	@		\$30.00 /p	ass		\$60.00	
Crop Desiccation		Regione	:	3 L/ha	@		\$22.00 /L			\$66.00	
Descant Application		5			@		\$30.00 /h	а		\$30.00	
Irrigation				4 ML	@		\$39.00 /N	1L		\$156.00	
Contract Harvesting					@		\$100.00 /h	a		\$100.00	
Freight			1.0	6 I	æ		\$80.00 / 1			\$128.00	
TOTAL VARIABLE COSTS											\$1,083.23
GROSS MARGIN											\$90.12
BREAKEVEN YIELD											0.92
BREAKEVEN PRICE											\$677.02
			SEN	ISITIVITY AN Price / tonn	ALYSIS						
Yield	\$880.00	\$935.00	\$990.0	0 \$1,045.0	00 \$ *	1,100.00	\$1,155.00	\$1,210.00	\$1,265.00	\$1,320.00	
0.80	-\$379.23	-\$335.23	-\$291.2	3 -\$247.2	- 23	\$203.23	-\$159.23	-\$115.23	-\$71.23	-\$27.23	
1.00	-\$203.23	s -\$148.23	-\$93.23 \$104.7	3 -\$38.2 7 \$170.7	23 77	\$16.77	\$71.77 \$302.77	\$126.77 \$368.77	\$181.77 \$434.77	\$236.77	
1.20	-ə27.23 \$148.77	৯ ৯১০.// ৫ \$225.77	\$302.7	γ	7	\$456 77	φ302.77 \$533.77	\$610 77	9434.77 \$687.77	\$300.77 \$764 77	
1.60	\$324.77	\$412.77	\$500.7	7 \$588.7	77	\$676.77	\$764.77	\$852.77	\$940.77	\$1,028.77	
1.80	\$500.77	\$599.77	\$698.7	7 \$797.7	77	\$896.77	\$995.77	\$1,094.77	\$1,193.77	\$1,292.77	
2.00	\$676.77	\$786.77	\$896.7	7 \$1,006.7	77 \$ [*]	1,116.77	\$1,226.77	\$1,336.77	\$1,446.77	\$1,556.77	
2.20	\$852.77	\$973.77	\$1,094.7	/ \$1,215.7	'1 \$' م	1,336.77	\$1,457.77	\$1,578.77	\$1,699.77	\$1,820.77	
2.40	\$1,028.77	\$1,160.77	\$1,292.7	/ \$1,424./	'' \$'	1,000.77	\$1,688.77	φ1,8∠U.77	\$1,952.77	\$2,084.77	

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Mangoes (Kensington Pride)

To be used as a guide only



Tonnes per ha => 10.78

variable costs					Cost/ha	Cos	st/ha	\$/tray
	AVNo operts	Hrs/ha	Form Cost					
Machinery operations								
Other					40.55			
Slashing	6		8.05)	48.55			
Spraying	5	00.00	14.93		74.65			
Hand pruning	1	20.00	11.0		220			
Contact nedging	1	0.36	200)	/2		445.00	0.07
Other	0		4	ł	0		415.20	0.27
Planting	0	0		`	0.00			
Other	0	0.00)	0.00			
Fartiliaar	U No enerte	No/ka/troo	No//#roo	, ¢/ka/1	0.00		-	
Fertiliser	No appis	NO/Kg/IIee	No/I/tree	⊅/kg/∟ 0.00	754.60			
Super	1.00	0.50		0.96	616.00			
Gypsull K-sprav	0.00	0.50		1.49	010.00			
-spiay	0.00	0.50		1.40	0.00			
	4.00			0.50				
Solubor	1.00	0.02		3.52	86.73			
	0.20	0.01		236	126.88			
	0.00	0.00		1.96	0.00			
Other	0.00	0.00			0.00			
Other	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Other	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00		2 194 24	1 / 2
Herbicide/insecticide							2,104.21	1.42
Rasta	0.00		0.01	21 55	0.00			
Agral	2.00		0.01	6.88	105.89			
Spravseed	0.00		0.00	12.27	0.00			
Roundup	1.00		0.01	12.82	118.44			
Insecticide Supracide	0.00		0.02	24.89	0.00			
Endosulfan	4.00		0.02	10.05	1237.98			
White oil	2.00		0.01	0.00	0.00			
Dimethoate	4.00		0.08	3 11.25	5197.50		6,659.81	4.32
Fungicide								
Octave	1.00	0.00		176.22	271.38			
Copper	1.00	0.03		17.50	673.75			
Dithane	1.00	0.02		7.77	239.30			
Amistar	1.00	0.002		187.11	576.30			
Other					0.00			
Other	0.00				0.00		1,760.73	1.14
Irrigation	ML/ha	9.00		35.00	315.00		315.00	0.20
harvesting/marketing	Trees picked/day	\$/labour unit	Hrs/labour unit	\$/tray				
Picking per labour unit	13.00 Ctos Packed/day	\$ 20.00 \$/labour.unit	9.00 Hrs/abour unit	1.26	1938.46		1,938.46	1.26
Packing/sort per labour unit	120.00	\$ 20.00	9.00	1.50	2079.00		2,079.00	1.50
Lebaycid	1.00	app/kg	0.09	97.77	8.80		8.80	0.01
Spinflo (hot dip)	1.00	app/kg	5.00	16.15	80.77		80.77	0.06
Sportak	1.00	app/kg	0.09	168.08	15.13		15.13	0.01
Bin hire	1.00	\$/bin	20.00	4.31	86.24		86.24	0.06
Packaging	1.00	\$/tray	2.65		3672.90		3,672.90	2.65
Commissions (gross sales)	10%				2633.40		2,633.40	1.71
Levies	1.00	\$/tray	0.10		138.60		138.60	0.10
Freight	1.00	\$/kgs	0.13		1212.78		1,212.78	0.88
Fuel surcharge %	20%			т	otal costs	\$	23,201.02	\$ 15.59
				-	Income	\$	26,873.00	\$ 19.00
Bin Capacity (KGS)	250.00			Gro	ss Margin	\$	3,671.98	\$ 3.41

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Rockmelons and honeydew melons

(Speedling, trickle, plastic - Irrigated dry tropics)

To be used as a guide only

(1) GROSS INCOME		Yield (Cartons/Ha)		\$/17kg Carton		\$/Ha
		2,500		\$15.81	1	\$39,525
(2) PRE HADVEET O	Tonnes/ha=>	44.6	\$/kg	\$ 0.93		
(2) FRE HARVEST C	0010	Operations	\$/Operation	ן ר	\$/Carton	\$/Ha
Machinery Costs	Ripping	1	\$44.88		\$0.02	\$44.88
(F.O.R.M.)	Discing	2	\$34.51		\$0.03	\$69.03
	Rotary Hoe	1	\$77.32		\$0.03	\$77.32
	Planting	1	\$30.20 \$122.57		\$0.01	\$30.28 \$122.57
	Tape Laying	1	\$73.64		\$0.03	\$73.64
	Inter-row Herbicide	1	\$16.58		\$0.01	\$16.58
	Spray Application	12	\$27.30		\$0.13	\$327.63
	Mulch Removal	1	\$26.66		\$0.01	\$26.66
Fuel					\$0.00	
Repairs & maintenar	nce				\$0.00	
Casadling (Number	De avuine d'	Kgs/Ha	\$/Kg		£0.00	\$2,000,00
Speedling (Number	CK55	20,000	\$0.10		\$0.80 \$0.17	\$2,000.00 \$414.29
Trickle	Potassium Nitrate	50	\$1.19		\$0.02	\$59.70
	Calcium Nitrate	50	\$6.75		\$0.14	\$337.50
	Magnesium Sulphate	50	\$0.60		\$0.01	\$30.10
		Applications	L or Kas/Ha	\$/Ka or I		
Herbicide	Spravseed	1	3.5	\$12.27	\$0.02	\$42.96
Insecticide	Endosulfan	2	2.1	\$10.05	\$0.02	\$42.20
	Aphidex/Pirimor PP	1	1	\$55.50	\$0.02	\$55.50
	Vertimec	2	0.2	\$77.91	\$0.01	\$31.16
	Success	2	0.4	\$402.50	\$0.13 \$0.03	\$322.00 \$71.82
	Svnerov	3	0.3	\$52.50	\$0.03	\$47.25
	Confidor Guard	1	0.7	\$186.53	\$0.05	\$130.57
	Admiral	1	0.5	\$242.10	\$0.05	\$121.05
	Chess	2	0.2	\$324.50	\$0.05	\$129.80
	Gemstar	1		\$52.90	\$0.00	\$0.00
	DCTron	3	2	\$5.00	\$0.01	\$30.00
Eungicide	Lannate	2	2	\$20.55	\$0.03 \$0.08	\$82.20
<u>i ungicide</u>	Mancozeb	4	2	\$6.82	\$0.02	\$54.56
	Amistar	2	0.45	\$204.48	\$0.07	\$184.03
	Bayfidan	2	0.4	\$187.11	\$0.06	\$149.69
	Nimrod	2	0.6	\$45.80	\$0.02	\$54.96
	Sulphur	3	3.5	\$2.62	\$0.01	\$27.47
	BIAVO	4	2	\$35.00	\$ 0.11	φ260.00
		ML/Ha	\$/ML	1		
Water Charges		4	\$44.00		\$0.07	\$176.00
Labour Cost					¢0.49	\$1,200,00
Labour Cost					φ0.46	\$1,200.00
		Metres	\$/Metre	1		
Irrigation	Trickle Tape	6,600	\$0.13		\$0.33	\$831.60
	Layflat (4",4yr life)	50	\$3.42		\$0.07	\$171.00
	Plastic Mulch	6,600	\$0.11		\$0.30	\$752.40
		Weeks	Hive No./ha	\$/Hive/Wk		
Hire of Hives		4	3	\$10.00	\$0.05	\$120.00
			1			
Cron Manitaring		\$/Ha			\$0.0F	\$120.00
Crop Monitoring		\$150.00			ψ0.00	φ130.00
TOTAL PRE HARVE	ST COSTS				\$3.63	\$9,082
(3) POST HARVEST	COSTS			F	¢/Cartan	¢/Ue
Harvest & Pack	Picking				\$1.45	\$3.625.00
	Packing				\$1.45	\$3,625.00
	Dipping				\$0.03	\$62.50
	Electricity				\$0.03	\$70.00
	17kg Cartons				\$2.55	\$6,375.00
	Machinery Costs				\$0.19 \$0.10	\$480.00 \$240.00
	ounago on r uni				\$0.10	¢210.00
TOTAL POST HARV	EST COSTS				\$5.79	\$14,478
(4) MARKETING COS	513	\$/Pallet	Pallets	ן ר	\$/Carton	\$/Ha
Freight	(Pallet = 56 Ctns)	\$125.00	44.64		\$2.23	\$5,580.36
	,	·		·		
Commission		12.50%	l		\$1.98	\$4,940.63
TOTAL MARKETING	COSTS				\$4.21	\$10.521
				•	V 112 1	\$10 <u>3</u> 021
SUMMARY TABLE				[\$/Carton	\$/Ha
		TOTAL PRE HARVE	ST COSTS		\$3.63	\$9,082
		TOTAL POST HAR	COSTS		\$5.79	\$14,478
		TOTAL MARKETING	COSTS		\$4.21 \$13.63	\$10,521
		GROSS MARGIN			\$2.18	\$5,445
SENSITIVITY ANALY	SIS - \$ PER CARTON	Expressed per Ha)	A16	- ·		
			\$/Ctn	Gross	Variable	Gross
			\$11.00	\$27,500	\$32 577	(\$5.077)
			\$12.00	\$30.000	\$32,890	(\$2,890)
			\$13.00	\$32,500	\$33,202	(\$702)
			\$14.00	\$35,000	\$33,515	\$1,485
			\$15.00	\$37,500	\$33,827	\$3,673

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Seedless watermelons

(Speedling, plastic, trickle - Irrigated dry tropics)

To be used as a guide only

(1) GROSS INCOME		Yield (Tonnes/Ha)		\$/Tonne		\$/Ha
		20		\$820.00 0.82	1	\$16,400
(2) PRE HARVEST C	COSTS	Operations	\$/Operation	1	\$/Tonne	\$/Ha
Machinery Costs	Ripping	1	\$44.88		\$2.24	\$44.88
(F.O.R.M.)	Discing Rotary Hoe	2	\$34.51 \$77.32		\$3.45 \$3.87	\$69.03 \$77.32
	Bed & Mulch	1	\$35.28		\$1.76	\$35.28
	Planting Tape Laving	1	\$122.57 \$73.64		\$6.13 \$3.68	\$122.57 \$73.64
	Inter-row Herb	1	\$16.58		\$0.83	\$16.58
	Spray Application Mulch Removal	9 1	\$27.30 \$27.30		\$12.29 \$1.37	\$245.72 \$27.30
<u>Fuel</u> Repairs & maintena	nce_				\$0.00	
		Kos/Ha	\$/Ka	1		
Seedlings (Number	Required)	5,330	\$0.05		\$14.39	\$287.82
Fertiliser Sowing Trickle	CK55 Potassium Nitrate	300 50	\$1.37 \$1.43		\$20.56 \$3.58	\$411.26 \$71.64
	Calcium Nitrate	50	\$6.75 \$0.60		\$16.88 \$1.51	\$337.50 \$30.10
	Magnesium Suphate		\$0.00		ψ1.01	φ30.10
Herbicide	Sprayseed	Applications 1	3.5	\$12.27	\$2.15	\$42.96
Insecticide	Endosulfan Aphidox/Dirimor PR	2	2.1	\$10.05 \$55.50	\$2.11 \$2.79	\$42.20 \$55.50
	Success	2	0.4	\$402.50	\$5.00	\$322.00
	Vertimec	2	0.2	\$77.91	\$1.56 \$3.59	\$31.16 \$71.82
	Synergy	3	0.3	\$52.50	\$2.36	\$47.25
	Confidor Guard	1	0.7	\$186.53 \$242.10	\$6.53 \$6.05	\$130.57 \$121.05
	Chess	2	0.3	\$324.50	\$6.49	\$129.80
	DCTron	3	2	\$5.00	\$1.50 \$2.00	\$30.00 \$78.00
	Lannate	2	2	\$20.55	\$3.90 \$4.11	\$78.00 \$82.20
Fungicide	Mancozeb	4	2	\$6.82	\$2.73	\$54.56
	Bayfidan	2	0.36	\$289.60 \$109.64	\$10.43 \$4.39	\$208.51 \$87.71
	Amistar	2	1	\$187.11	\$18.71	\$374.22
	Nimrod	2	2	\$35.00 \$45.80	\$7.00 \$0.00	\$140.00 \$0.00
	Sulphur	2	0.6	\$2.62	\$0.16	\$3.14
Water Charges		ML/Ha 4	\$/ML \$44.00]	\$8.80	\$176.00
Labour Cost					\$50.00	\$1,000,00
		Metres	\$/Metre	1		
Irrigation		5,330	\$0.13		\$33.58	\$671.58
	Trickle Tape Layflat (4",4yr life)	50 5,330	\$3.42 \$0.11		\$8.55 \$30.38	\$171.00 \$607.62
	Plastic Mulch	Weeks	Hive No.	\$/Hive/Wk		
Hire of Hives		4	3	\$10.00	\$6.00	\$120.00
Crop Monitoring		\$/Ha \$80.00	}		\$4.00	\$80.00
TOTAL PRE HARVE	ST COSTS				\$325.37	\$6.729
(3) POST HARVEST						
					\$/Tonnes	\$/Ha
marvest & Pack	Picking				\$38.00	\$740.00
	1 Tonne Octo Bins				\$38.00	\$70.00
	Machinery Costs				\$15.00 \$20.00	\$400.00
TOTAL POST HARV	Cartage on Farm				\$148.00	\$2 270
	STS					
Freight	- <u></u>	\$/Pallet	Pallets		\$/Tonnes	\$/Ha
rreight	(Pallet = 1 Tonne)			•	\$231.25	\$4,625.00
Commission		12.50%	J		\$102.50	\$2,050.00
TOTAL MARKETING	COSTS				\$333.75	\$6,675
SUMMARY TABLE		TOTAL DECUARYS	ST COSTS		\$/Tonne	\$/Ha
		TOTAL PRE HARVE	EST COSTS		\$325.37 \$14 <u>8.00</u>	\$2,270
		TOTAL MARKETING	COSTS		\$333.75	\$6,675
		GROSS MARGIN			\$12.88	\$726
SENSITIVITY ANAL	<u>YSIS - \$ PER TONNE (E</u>	xpressed per Ha)				
			\$/Tonne	Gross	Variable	Gross
			\$700.00	\$14,000	\$15,374	(\$1,37 <u>4)</u>
			\$750.00	\$15,000	\$15,499 \$15,624	(\$499)
			\$850.00	\$17,000	\$15,624 \$15,749	\$1,251
			\$900.00	\$18,000	\$15,874	\$2,126

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

Large pumpkins (Jap)

(Trickle, - Irrigated dry tropics)

To be used as a guide only

(1) GROSS INCOME		Yield (Tonnes/Ha)	•	\$/Tonne	\$/Ha		
		18.00		\$750.00 0.75		\$13,500	
(2) PRE HARVEST C	COSTS	Operations	\$/Operation	1 1	\$/Tonne	¢/Ца	
Machinery Costs	Discing	4	\$34.51		\$7.67	\$138.05	
(F.O.R.M)	Rotary Hoe Planting	1	\$77.32 \$122.57		\$4.30 \$6.81	\$77.32 \$122.57	
	Spray Application	4	\$27.30		\$6.07	\$109.21	
	Aerial Spraying	1	\$30.00		\$1.67	\$30.00	
<u>Fuel</u> Repairs & maintena	nce				\$0.00		
		Kos/Ha	\$/Ka				
Seed (number requi	red)	5354	\$0.09		\$27.78	\$500.06	
Fertiliser Sowing Trickle	Potassium Nitrate	20	\$1.37 \$1.43		\$38.08 \$1.59	\$685.44 \$28.66	
	Calcium Nitrate Magnesium Sulphate	20 2	\$6.75 \$0.60		\$7.50 \$0.07	\$135.00 \$1.20	
	с .	Applications	L or Kos/Ha	\$/Ka or L			
Herbicide	Sprayseed	1	3.5	\$12.27 \$10.05	\$2.39	\$42.96	
Insecticide	Endosulfan Aphidex/Pirimor PP	2 1	2.1	\$10.05 \$55.50	\$2.34 \$3.08	\$42.20 \$55.50	
	Success	2	0.4	\$402.50	\$17.89	\$322.00	
1	Talstar	3	0.2	\$39.90	\$3.99	\$71.82	
	Synergy Confider Guard	3	0.3	\$52.50	\$2.63 \$7.25	\$47.25 \$120.57	
	Admiral	1	0.7	\$242.10	\$6.73	\$121.05	
	Chess	2	0.2	\$324.50 \$5.00	\$7.21 \$1.67	\$129.80 \$30.00	
	Coragen	2	0.1	\$390.00	\$4.33	\$78.00	
Fungicide	Lannate Mancozeh	2	2	\$20.55 \$6.82	\$4.57 \$3.03	\$82.20 \$54.56	
<u>r ungionae</u>	Acrobat	2	0.36	\$289.60	\$11.58	\$208.51	
	Bayfidan Amistar	2	0.4	\$109.64 \$187.11	\$4.87 \$20.79	\$87.71 \$374 22	
	Bravo	2	2	\$35.00	\$7.78	\$140.00	
	Nimrod Sulphur	2 2	0.6	\$45.80 \$2.62	\$0.00 \$0.17	\$0.00 \$3.14	
Water Charges		ML/Ha	\$/ML \$44.00		\$12.22	\$220.00	
<u></u>		Hours	\$/Hour		V 12122	\$220.000	
Labour Cost		Tiours	φποα	1	\$55.56	\$1,000.00	
Irrigation	Trickle Tape	5,330	\$0.13		\$37.31	\$671.58	
	Layflat (4",4yr life) Plastic Mulch	50 5,330	\$3.42 \$0.11		\$9.50 \$33.76	\$171.00 \$607.62	
		Weeks	Hive No./ha	\$/Hive/Wk			
Hire of Hives		4	3	\$10.00	\$6.67	\$120.00	
Crop Monitoring		\$/Ha \$80.00			\$4.44	\$80.00	
TOTAL PRE HARVE	ST COSTS		-		\$376.20	\$6,772	
(3) POST HARVEST	COSTS						
Hanvest & Deek	Hanvast & Cart	Tonnes/Hr	\$/Hr		\$/Tonne	\$/Ha	
TRIVESLA FACK	1 Tonne Octo Bins	0.5	φ20.00	1	\$38.00	\$684.00	
	Machinery Costs				\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	
	Electricity				\$ 0.00	\$10.00	
TOTAL POST HARV	EST COSTS				\$78.00	\$1,404	
(4) MARKETING CO	STS						
Freight	(Pallet = 1 Tonne)	\$/Pallet \$183.00	Pallets 18.00		\$/Carton \$183.00	\$/Ha \$3,294.00	
Commission		12.50%]		\$93.75	\$1,687.50	
TOTAL MARKETING	COSTS				\$276.75	\$4,982	
SUMMARY TABLE				Г	\$/Tonne	\$/Ha	
		TOTAL PRE HARVES	ST COSTS		\$376.20	\$6,772	
		TOTAL POST HARV	EST COSTS COSTS		\$78.00 \$276.75	\$1,404 \$4,982	
		TOTAL VARIABLE C	OSTS		\$730.95	\$13,157	
05101511/551		GROSS MARGIN			\$19.05	\$343	
SENSITIVITY ANAL	YSIS - \$ PER TONNE (E	xpressed per Ha)	\$/Tonne	Gross	Variable	Gross	
			\$600.00	Income \$10,800	Costs	Margin	
			\$650.00	\$11,700	\$12,932	(\$1,232)	
			\$700.00 \$750.00	\$12,600 \$13,500	\$13,045 \$13,157	(\$445) \$343	
			\$800.00	\$14,400	\$13,270	\$1,130	

Disclaimer
This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought

\$15,300

\$13,382

\$850.00

\$1,918

Hay production

Number of cuts		2				1		
area (ha)		1				Tabawaad		مينامه مماير
Av Viold (balos/ba)	120 kg round halo	50.00				To be used	as a	guide only
Price (\$/bale)	120 kg touriu bale	¢ 50.00	25.00					
Incomo Hay		Ψ \$ 25	20.00					
income nay		Ψ 2,3	mo ->	¢	2 500 00			
		TOLATINCO	me =>	φ	2,500.00			
Average Total income per	2.500.00							
Machinery applications	Applications	Unit cost		F	ORM/ha	\$/ha		\$/ha
Disc/roller	2			\$	38.40	76.80		4 /1100
Rotary hoe	0			Ŷ	00110	-		
Slashing	0					-		
Roller (Cambridge)	1			\$	4.51	4.51		
Planter (Covington type)	1			\$	23.48	23.48		
Fertiliser spreader	1			\$	9.04	9.04		
Boom sprav	1			\$	15.43	15.43		
Plane application			40	Ψ	10.10	0.00		
			10			0.00		129.26
	Applications	rate		\$/	unit cost	\$/ha		\$/ha
Establishment costs								<i>p</i> ,
Seed planting rate	1		12		1.50	18.00		
								18.00
Fertiliser	Applications	rate		\$/	unit cost	\$/ha		\$/ha
Tropical Pasture P	0	1	50.00	<i>.</i>	0.85	-		<i>p</i> ,
Muriate Potash	1	1	00.00		1.11	111.30		
Urea	0	2	00.00		1.04	-		
DAP	0		-		-	-		
SSP	1	2	00.00		0.88	175.00		
Sodium molbdate	1	_	1.50		-	-		
Other	0		-		-	-		
Other	0		-		-	-		286.30
weed control	Applications	rate		\$/	unit cost	\$/ha		\$/ha
Treflan	0		2.00		10.00	-		
Bushkiller	0		-		18.00	-		
Spinnaker	1		0.15		-	-		
Endosulphan	1		2.10		10.05	21.10		
Other	0		-		-	-		21.10
	Applications	ML/ha			\$/ML			\$/ha
Irrigation	1	3.5			25.00	87.50		87.50
Hay Harvesting	Applications	Unit cost		FO	RM/ha			\$/ha
Mowing/cutting	1				10.30	10.30		
Baling	1				75.39	75.39		
Loading	1				13.34	13.34		88.72
Seed Harvesting		time/ha						
Harvesting (legumes)	0					0.00		
Suction harvest	0							
Hand harvest	0							0.00
Drying & Cleaning	1	\$	0.30	<=\$	6/kg			
								0.00
						Total costs	\$	630.89
0						Income	\$	2,500.00
						Gross margin	\$	1,869.11

Disclaimer This gross margin has been prepared based on discussion and information supplied by the producers and relevant industries bodies. The State of Queensland does not accept liability for any decision, action taken, or loss suffered in reliance upon or based upon this analysis and for application to specific circumstances, independent professional advice should be sought